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ABSTRACT
We review our results of 17 patients, who had early excision 5 months (3-8 months) of post traumatic Heterotrophic ossification (HO) of elbow, followed by medical treatment with indomethacin to prevent recurrence, in our study. The average age group of our patients is 24 years (15-38). There were 12 males and 5 females. The patients were followed up for average of 58 months (38-90 months). The mean preoperative Mayo Elbow Performance Score (MEPS) was 63(40-80), and the mean MEPS core at final follow up is 90(75-100). According to MEPS, 13 patients had excellent result and 4 patients had good result. All the patients were asymptomatic at the time of final follow up. One patient with intra operative ulnar nerve injury recovered partially, and remained with some disability at final follow up. Patient with pre operative median nerve palsy recovered completely at 3 months post operatively.
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INTRODUCTION
Heterotrophic ossification of elbow occurs in approximately two to three percent of patients with the local trauma. The severity of the trauma can vary from soft tissue injury to fracture, and fracture dislocation of the elbow. The factor that increases soft tissue injury such as passive stretching is believed to predispose to the formation of heterotrophic ossification of elbow. The choice of treatment of pre-existing HO of elbow associated with functional disability is surgical excision. The timing of surgical excision, the method of prevention of recurrence, and the actual risk of recurrence, however, remains a controversial subject of debate mainly due to unpredictable outcome reported in the literature. In our study, we retrospectively reviewed our results of early excision of heterotrophic ossification of elbow in patients with trauma in young age.

PATIENTS AND METHODS
From 2006 to 2012, we encountered 47 patients with ankylosis of elbow in our hospital. Nine patients had complete osseous ankylosis of elbow. Fifteen patients had fibrous ankylosis of elbow without HO. Twenty three patients had ankylosis with HO. All the patients were less than 40 years of age, with history of local trauma, either soft tissue or bony injury, who presented to us early, (arbitrarily within 8 months) were included in our study. Patients with associated head injury, more than 40 years of age and with duration more than eight months were excluded from our study. Eighteen patients met our selection criteria. Adequate clinical data and radiographs were collected from the medical records. One patient lost to follow up. Thus, we report our results of 17 patients in our study. The average age of the patients was 24 years (15-38).
Twelve patients were male and five females. The right hand was involved in ten patients and all were dominant on the same side, left hand was involved in seven patients, with one patient dominant on the injured side. Fifteen patients had native treatment, which includes massage of affected area using oil, eggs and bandage, which are commonly practiced in developing countries. Three patients had untreated posterior dislocation of elbow. One patient underwent open reduction and internal fixation for intercondylar fracture of humerus, and another patient had conservative treatment in the form of above elbow slab application for three weeks. The patients had functional disability as the main complaint, and showed no improvement in arc of motion after 4 weeks of supervised physiotherapy. Pre operative anteroposterior and lateral radiograph of the affected elbow were taken. The heterotrophic ossification was predominantly posteromedial in five patients, posterolateral and lateral in nine patients, and anterolateral in three patients.

All patients were treated with excision of heterotrophic ossification and soft tissue contracture release. One patient had displaced fracture distal shaft of humerus, and underwent simultaneous internal fixation with DCP and autogenous bone grafting. Three patients had unreduced posterior dislocation. All of them were reduced after adequate soft tissue release by both medial and lateral approach. No patient required triceps lengthening. The approach was selected appropriate to the site of heterotrophic ossification. Thus one patient had medial approach, ten patients required both medial and lateral, five patients lateral, and one patient posterior approach. Post operatively patients were treated with oral Indomethacin 25 mg three times a day for 6 weeks, along with Pantoprazole 40 mg once a day in empty stomach. Three doses of first generation of cephalosporin, preoperatively one dose and two doses postoperatively at 8 hours interval, was given prophylactically for all the patients. The active and active assisted motion was started from the next day of surgery and continued approximately for 12-18 months to consolidate the gain in arc of motion. The patients with untreated posterior dislocation were immobilized for two weeks in cast and mobilization started thereafter.

The clinical evaluation was done with MEPS preoperatively, and at final follow up. Radiographs in anteroposterior and lateral views taken preoperatively, postoperatively, and at final follow up, for the assessment..

**RESULTS**

The mean duration from the time of injury and time of surgical excision is 5 months (3-8 months). The mean duration of follow up was 58 months (38-90 months). The average mean preoperative arc of motion is 41 deg (0-110 deg). The mean postoperative value of extension is 17 deg (0-40 deg), flexion of 124 deg (100-140), and the arc of motion is 104 deg (60-140). The mean preoperative rotation is 91 deg (40-140) and the mean postoperative arc of rotation is 132 deg (100-160).

The mean postoperative MEPS increased to 90 (75-100) at final follow up, from the preoperative value of 63 (40-80). Three patients developed grade 1 mild laxity on the medial side, but remained unaffected on their routine activities at final follow up. According to MEPS, 13 patients had excellent result and 4 patients had good result. The three patients who underwent bony procedure had complete union.
There was no evidence of recurrence of heterotrophic ossification. One patient with intraoperative ulnar nerve injury recovered partially, and remained with some disability at final follow up. Patient with pre operative median nerve palsy recovered completely at 3 months post operatively. Seven patients had mild abdominal discomfort initially at one week of Indomethacin treatment, but were able to complete the course without any further complaints. All the patients remained satisfied with the procedure at final follow up.

Pre-operative antero-posterior and lateral radiograph of a 25 yr old male showing unreduced dislocation of elbow with Heterotrophic Ossification
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DISCUSSION

The causes for heterotrophic ossification are varied\textsuperscript{13,14,15}. They include local injury, head injury, spinal cord injury, surgery to the elbow, neurological conditions such as stroke, poliomyelitis, thermal and electrical burns. The hip, elbow, knee, and shoulder are commonly involved in heterotrophic ossification\textsuperscript{3}. The clinical course is usually progressive initially, and then remains static\textsuperscript{17}, and may spontaneously resolve in some cases\textsuperscript{16}. The choice of treatment in patients with pre-existing HO with functional disability is surgical excision.

The timing of surgical treatment is still a matter of debate. The earlier studies have largely reported delayed surgical excision of HO, approximately 18-20 months, after maturation of HO\textsuperscript{3,4,5,6}. The maturation of the bone was decided based on serum alkaline phosphatase, radiographs, bone scans, which were subsequently found to be unreliable indicators. Moreover, the status of maturity of bone, levels of alkaline phosphatase, and positive bone scans were not predictive of recurrence risk of HO after surgical excision\textsuperscript{3,9}. Several authors have favored early excision as it makes the procedure easier, less traumatic and decrease the period of functional disability and provides equally good functional results with low rate of recurrence\textsuperscript{8,9,12,18,19,20}. The reported number of patients in the literature treated with early excision is less, and the etiologies are varied in those papers. Our series had young patients with only trauma as single etiology. The early surgical intervention in our series also helped us to reconstruct the fracture in one patient, and relocate the elbow in three cases with unreduced dislocation of elbow. The functional outcome is significantly improved as assessed by MEPS score. The complication was also comparable with other series, with one patient developing ulnar nerve palsy, which recovered partially. Thus the early excision, apart from making surgery easier
and limiting soft tissue contracture \(^{8,9,10}\), provides good functional results compared to delayed excision of HO \(^3,12\).

Regarding the method of prophylaxis, despite the proven success of radiotherapy, or indomethacin, or combination of both in the hip following primary arthroplasty, acetabular fracture reconstruction, and post surgical excision of HO \(^21,22,23,24,25\), the evidence in case of elbow is inadequate. The risk of recurrence of HO of elbow in patients with head injury is postulated to be substantial than due to trauma \(^3\). Though theoretically the risk of recurrence is high, except for one series in which patients with head injuries were included \(^3\), the other series have reported low recurrence rate without prophylaxis \(^7,12\), or with radiotherapy \(^9,11\). The high recurrence rate in DE Garland series \(^3\) have been attributed to the severity of the neurological status of the patient. Also, the risk of wound complications, and the theoretical risks of sarcoma due to radiation in young patients with longevity of life have been also debated in the literature \(^9,12\). Since the risk of recurrence contemplated to be low for the patients with trauma \(^18,19,20\), ease and low cost of administration of Indomethacin despite its gastrointestinal side effects, and cost of radiotherapy, we treated our patients with early excision, and prophylaxis with Indomethacin. There was no case of recurrence of HO in our patients at final follow up. The major problem associated with Indomethacin is its gastrointestinal side effects.

**CONCLUSION**

Our series is unique in the way that it consist 17 patients, one of the large series to have undergone early excision in a single etiology group. The drawback in our study is nature of the study, retrospective, and the limited number of cohorts to provide a statistical significance. Despite these limitations, we favor early excision of Heterotrophic Ossification of elbow in post traumatic patients, along with prophylactic oral Indomethacin and early ROM exercise, which is evident with our encouraging results.
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Table 1: Clinical detail of patients including age, sex, side involved, diagnosis, duration of injury, approach and, procedure done

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>age</th>
<th>sex</th>
<th>side</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Duration of injury (months)</th>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Duration of follow up (months)</th>
<th>surgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>post dislocation with medial capsular avulsion</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>lateral and medial</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>soft tissue release, open reduction, and HO excision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>post dislocation</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>lateral and medial</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>soft tissue release, open reduction, and HO excision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Intercondylar fracture with implant in situ</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>posterior</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>Soft tissue release and HO excision, and implant removal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>mal united intercondylar fracture with NU shaft of humerus</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>lateral</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>soft tissue release, HO excision, ORIF with bone grafting of non union of shaft of humerus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>mal united intercondylar fracture</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>lateral</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>soft tissue release and HO excision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>radial head fracture</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>lateral</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>soft tissue release and HO excision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>soft tissue injury</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>lateral and medial</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>soft tissue release and HO excision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>soft tissue injury</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>lateral and medial</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>soft tissue release and HO excision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>posterior dislocation</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>lateral and medial</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>soft tissue release, open reduction, and HO excision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>soft tissue injury</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>lateral and medial</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>soft tissue release, and HO excision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>mal united supracondylar fracture</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>lateral</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>soft tissue release, and HO excision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>mal united supracondylar fracture</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>lateral and medial</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>soft tissue release, and HO excision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>soft tissue injury</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>lateral and medial</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>soft tissue procedure and HO excision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>radial head fracture</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>lateral and medial</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>soft tissue release, and HO excision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>medial epicondyle fracture</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>medial</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>soft tissue release, and HO excision, and ORIF#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>soft tissue injury</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>lateral</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>soft tissue release, and HO excision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>soft tissue injury</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>lateral and medial</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>soft tissue release, and HO excision</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# ORIF- open reduction and internal fixation

Table 2: Showing the preoperative and final follow up results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Preoperative (mean)</th>
<th>Final follow up (mean)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MEPS</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arc of motion</td>
<td>41 degrees</td>
<td>104 degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arc of rotation</td>
<td>90 degrees</td>
<td>130 degrees</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>