Scar endometriosis after caesarean section: a case series and review of literature
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ABSTRACT

Endometriosis is defined as extra-uterine localization of ectopic functional endometrial gland and stroma. Cystic or solid tumoral masses caused by endometriosis are named as endometrioma. Although these pathologic conditions mostly encountered in ligaments of uterus, ovaries, pouch of douglas and pelvic peritoneum; endometriosis has also been reported in nose, breast, lung, spleen, gastrointestinal tract, kidney, abdominal wall, but scar endometriomais extremely rare. Scar endometriosis is rare and difficult to diagnose. This condition can be confused with other surgical conditions, however imaging techniques and FNAC are indicated towards better diagnostic approach. Medical treatment is helpful in selected cases but wide excision is the treatment of choice. By presenting this paper, and conducting a review of the literature, we intend to increase the awareness of this rather, rare condition.
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INTRODUCTION

Endometriosis was first described by Rokitansky and it is defined as extra-uterine localization of ectopic functional endometrial gland and stroma and Cystic or solid tumoral masses caused by endometriosis are named as endometrioma. Although this pathologic conditions mostly encountered in ligaments of uterus, ovaries, pouch of douglas and pelvic peritoneum; endometriosis has also been reported in nose, breast, lung, spleen, gastrointestinal tracts, kidney, abdominal wall, but scar endometriomais extremely rare.1,2 Its exact prevalence is unknown because it can be diagnosed only after surgery either open or laparoscopy, but it is estimated to be present in 3-10% of women in the reproductive age group, and 25-35% of infertile women. In women who had undergone a pelvic operation, scar endometriosis is not rare and its incidence is 1% after abdominal hysterectomy and 0.03-0.04% after a Caesarean section.3,6 A rare case of cutaneous endometriosis has also been reported Scar endometriosis patients are often referred to the general surgeons because the clinical presentation suggests a surgical cause.7 We report seven cases of scar endometriosis, in our Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology in 3 year.

By presenting this paper, and conducting a review of the literature, we intend to increase the awareness of this rather, rare condition.

DISCUSSION

Endometriosis is presence of functioning endometrial tissue outside the uterine cavity, whereas endometrioma is its well circumscribed mass. The various sites for extra pelvic endometriosis are bladder, kidney, bowel, omentum, lymphnodes, lungs, pleura, extremities, umbilicus, hernial sacs, and abdominal wall.1,2 Endometriosis involving the abdominal wall is an unusual phenomenon that should be considered in the differential diagnosis of abdominal wall masses in women.8 The usual clinical presentation is a painful...
nodule in a parous woman with a history of gynecological or obstetrical surgery. The intensity of pain and size of nodule vary with menstrual cycle.

### Table 1: Case reports.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case no.</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Obstetric score</th>
<th>Years since caesarean section</th>
<th>Presentation</th>
<th>Swelling localization</th>
<th>Associated condition</th>
<th>US/ CT</th>
<th>FNAC</th>
<th>Line of management</th>
<th>Post of condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>P4L4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>incidental</td>
<td>Rt side upper end of stitch line</td>
<td>3rd uv prolapse</td>
<td>2.5x2 cm hypoechoic lesion above rectus muscle</td>
<td>Sheets and clusters of epithelial cells appear to form glands, degenerated cells, and hemosiderin laden macrophages suggestive of endometriosis.</td>
<td>Medical (GNRH agonist) followed by Vg hysterectomy</td>
<td>f/w since 2 year no recurrence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>P1L1A1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Lump anterior abdominal wall</td>
<td>Rt lateral abdominal wall</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2x2 hypoechoic mass</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
<td>medical</td>
<td>Improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>P2L2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>incidental</td>
<td>Left side below caeserian scar</td>
<td>Abdominal Koch</td>
<td>Minimal ascitis and thickened mesentery with mesenteric lymphadenopathy, mental thickening with altered echogenicity. usg also showed hypoechoic incidental mass of 2x2x1 cm at 2 above rectus sheath in anterior abdominal wall</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>empirical AKT cat II and GNRH agonist</td>
<td>Improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>P1L1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Lump at anterior abdominal wall</td>
<td>Below caeserian scar</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3x2x1 hypoechoic mass at left scar site</td>
<td>same as above</td>
<td>Medical GNRH agonist 3 cycles started but response was partial; she subsequently underwent a wide excision of the mass.</td>
<td>Histopathological examination of the excised mass confirmed the diagnosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>P3L3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>incidental</td>
<td>Above stitch line</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4x4x2 cm rt upper stitch line</td>
<td>hemosiderin laden macrophages and endometrial glands suggestive of endometriosis</td>
<td>Wide excision</td>
<td>Histopathological examination of the excised mass confirmed the diagnosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>P2L2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>incidental</td>
<td>Rt margin of surgical scar</td>
<td>3x2.5cm hypoechoic mass</td>
<td>Clusters of epithelial cells and stroma</td>
<td>Excision mass</td>
<td>HPE confirmed endometriosis and condition of pts improved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>P3L3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Lump at anterior abdominal wall</td>
<td>Rt side of caeserian scar</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.5x2 hypoechoic mass</td>
<td>Foci of cluster of epithelial mass seen</td>
<td>Medical (GNRH agonist 3 cycle)</td>
<td>Lost follow up</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Several mechanisms can explain the incidence of scar endometriosis.

**Figure 1:** A FNAC smear from the abdominal wall swelling showing epithelial cells and stroma. Hemosiderin pigment seen in the background ×45; B: FNAC smear from the abdominal wall swelling showing epithelial cells and stroma (x10).

**Figure 2:** Partly cystic and partly solid intramuscular lesion in the abdominal wall.

Scar endometriosis most commonly occurs after operation on the uterus and tubes. The incidence following cesarean section the incidence is 0.03-0.4%.9,10 The frequency of scar endometriosis has increased in the recent past because of the increasing numbers of cesarean sections and laparoscopies being performed.11 Direct mechanical implantation seems to be the most plausible theory for explaining scar endometriosis. During cesarean section, endometrial tissue might be seeded into the wound and under the same hormonal influences, these cells proliferate and form the scar endometrioma.12 The endometrial tissue may have certain abilities that make implantation and transplantation possible during pregnancy. The lack of secure closure of the parietal and visceral peritoneum during Caesarean section and reduced care to avoid dissemination of endometrial cells may also be associated with endometriosis at the surgical scar. This scenario, however, is rare and the increased incidence particularly after Cesarean section [0.03%] does not conform to this rate.5,6,13 Metaplasia of peritoneal mesothelial cells which remain in the incision during the initial operation has also been reported.14 The theories of lymphatic or vascular dissemination, as well as retrograde menstruation are not widely accepted.15 Finally, the recent hypothesis that the presence of endometriosis is related to immunogenetic defects may explain its development via inadequate response of the peritoneal defensive system to the retrograde flow or implantation of endometrial tissue.16,17

According to this hypothesis, the strongest risk factor for development of scar endometriosis is early hysterotomy for mid-trimester abortions.18 heavy menstrual blood flow and alcohol consumption were positively related to scar endometriosis, and conversely, high parity may be a protecting factor, however, direct implantation of endometrial tissue, cannot explain all cases. There are few cases of primary cutaneous endometriosis without prior surgery, such as at the vulva, perineum, groin, umbilicus, and extremities, as well as nasolacrimal localizations.

In these patients, correct diagnosis depends on careful examination, right questioning and obviously taking endometriosis into consideration. Furthermore, scar endometriosis is a rare entity, and the patients presented with a wide range of duration of cyclical pain from 2½ years to 10 years of the last cesarean section operation. The usual presenting symptoms of cyclical pain and increase in the size of mass may be due to hormonal influences that cause changes in size, cutaneous bleeding, and bruising.19

When a proper pre-diagnosis cannot be achieved, scar endometriosis can be easily mistaken for other surgical conditions such as hernia, hematoma, neroma, suture granuloma, lipoma, abscess, sebaceous cyst, and neoplastic tissue or even metastatic carcinoma, and patients reach the general surgeon first.20 Often the diagnosis of endometriosis not suggested until after histology has been performed. Correct preoperative diagnosis is achieved in only 20-50% of these patients.21

Imaging procedures help, rather than confirm, in obtaining a differential diagnosis. USG is the best and most commonly used procedure for abdominal masses, given its practicality and low cost. The mass may appear as a hypoechoic and heterogeneous mass with messy
internal echoes. However, FNAC cytology is a liable method to make the diagnosis of scars, and surgeons must be aware of some diagnoses such as inguinal hernia and re-implantation of potential malignancies during the process. Histology is the Gold standard of diagnosis. It is satisfied if endometrial glands, stroma, and hemosiderin pigment are seen. In general, diagnosis is easy with a microscopic examination of a standard hematoxylin and eosin-stained slide. Furthermore, the cytologist’s experience must be the important point, to clarify diagnosis, and to exclude malignancy.

Medical therapy with danazol, progesterone, Gn-Rh agonists may or may not helpful but recurrence occurs after cessation of the treatment with extreme side effects. Hence The treatment of choice remains the wide surgical excision to healthy margins, providing both diagnostic and therapeutic intervention. The presence of residual endothelial tissue is associated with recurrences. As ectopic endometrial tissue can theoretically undergo malignant transformation, histologic evaluation is necessary. Malignant change of endometriosis in a cesarean scar (CS) is rare. Long-standing recurrent scar endometriosis could undergo malignant changes and clinician should be aware.

Only 21.3% of cases of malignant transformation of endometriosis occur at extragonadal pelvic sites, 4% of cases in scars after laparotomy.

CONCLUSIONS

The incidence of scar endometriosis has increased in the recent past because of the increasing numbers of cesarean sections so one should have a high index of suspicion of scar endometriosis, whenever a woman presents with a periodic painful swelling in the abdominal scar, especially with a history of previous gynaecological or obstetric surgery. This condition can be confused with other surgical conditions, however imaging techniques and FNAC are indicated towards better diagnostic approach. Medical treatment is helpful in selected cases but wide excision is the treatment of choice. The patients should be followed up for recurrence.
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