Incidence of Secondary Vascular Complications After Stroke
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1. INTRODUCTION

Stroke is a clinical syndrome characterized by the sudden occurrence of a permanent focal neurological deficit, with vascular genesis—hemorrhage or ischemia of the brain blood vessels, and the symptoms which match the location and size of brain damage and the time passed since the beginning of stroke.

Epidemiological data show that diseases of the blood vessels are the enemy number one of modern man. Cerebrovascular diseases including stroke, among which the most prominent is stroke, are the third most important cause of illness and mortality, and the leading cause of disability in the world. Incidence of stroke was 200/100,000 inhabitants in 1960, and today it has reached even 500/100,000. Mortality in case of hemorrhagic stroke during the first month was 80% (mainly during the first three days), and from ischemic stroke 15%. The most common causes of death are transtentorial herniation, pneumonia, pulmonary embolism and heart disease.

Variable risk factors for the occurrence of stroke are hypertension, heart disease (ischemia, valves, and arrhythmias), tobacco smoking, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, eritrocitosis, increased fibrinogen, overweight and stress, and their regulation may affect the manifestation of stroke. Permanent factors such as age, sex, race, hereditary risk factors should not be ignored, although on them we cannot influence.

Treatment and rehabilitation require significant funds, and given that in two thirds of patients stroke causes disability that often causes permanent disability or sickness, so the consequences of stroke and influence on the financial burden of a state.

It is estimated that as many as 46% of cases of stroke occurs in the productive age in the general population between the 45 and 59 years of age. The time required for rehabilitation after stroke depends on its severity. Two thirds of patients after stroke survive and recover to a greater or less autonomy, and the third was permanently disabled for independent living and is dependent on someone else’s help. If the patient does not recover enough to be capable of working, implementation of preventive measures increasing the risk of repeated stroke, which can be fatal.

Rehabilitation procedures begin on the first or second day of acute treatment performed immediately after the diagnosis and acute medical intervention. Rehabilitation has several objectives. Preventive activities are aimed at the prevention of ulcers, respiratory infections, urinary infections, thromboembolitis, control with implementation of intermittent bladder catheterization and electro-stimulation, early mobilization of patients during the first 24 to 48 hours of the onset of the disease, and condition for this is cardiopulmonary stability.

Prevention of secondary complications, such as thromboembolitis and pulmonary embolism are of great importance for the final outcome of this disease. According to literature data pulmonary embolism (PE) is responsible for 5% of deaths after stroke. That’s why for patients with acute stroke and limited mobility it is recommended to use heparin or low molecular heparin (LMH) in preventive doses, if there are no contraindications for anticoagulants (Grade IA) (1, 2).

Data from 70 clinical studies on 16,000 patients reported that extensive use of prophylaxis can prevent 50% of PE and 66% of deep vein thrombosis (DVT) (3, 4).

Studies have also shown that the use of aspirin cannot be considered as prophylaxis of pulmonary embolism and DVT (5).

2. GOAL

To determine the incidence of patients who had vascular complications in the form of PE or DVT after stroke, and indicate the need to create and promote the prevention of these complications algorithm for patients after stroke.

3. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The subjects of this study were hospitalized patients at the Clinic of Physical medicine and Rehabilitation between October 2008 and October 2009 with rehabilitation conducted as a continuation of the treatment immediately after the stroke and who had a pulmonary embolism as a secondary compli-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Stroke</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>47 (55%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>39 (45%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>86 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Number and gender of patients with stroke treated in period 2008-2009 at the Clinic of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, n=86
In the study were present in 21 (24.7%) patients and in the form of thrombophlebitis in 16 (18.9%) patients, and pulmonary embolism were diagnosed in 5 (5.8%) patients of which one died.

All patients in whom pulmonary embolism was diagnosed had a neurological deficit in form of hemiplegia.

Table 6. Recommended prophylaxis in patients with pulmonary embolism after stroke (ischemic) treated in period 2008-2009 at the Clinic of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, n=5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thrombo-prophylaxis</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin protect</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low molecular heparin</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical therapy</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical prophylaxis methods</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Time from stroke to the appearance of symptoms of pulmonary embolism was accounted for an average of 25.4 days. With 2 patients symptoms PE occurred on 18 days after stroke diagnose and in one patient after 45 days.

Suggested prophylactic therapy (which patients received before admission) in patients who have developed pulmonary embolism was Aspirin protect, and neither one patient had administered low molecular heparin. Physical therapy was performed in all cases, and mechanical methods of prophylaxis are used.

5. DISCUSSION

It is estimated that as many as 46% of cases of stroke occurs in the productive age in the general population between the 45 and 59 years of age (6, 7). According to our research, the average age of patients with stroke was 50.3 years.

Stroke as a disease, unfortunately, takes more and more important place on the lists of morbidity and mortality. Modern treatment allows reduced level of disability for these patients, but to secondary complications should be given greater attention, and thereby treatment costs will be significantly reduced.

In our study occurred complications in patients with ischemic stroke and neurological deficit of hemiplegic type were also treated with aspirin protect which did not lead to sufficient prophylaxis in accordance with the literature data of other authors (5). Studies that have examined the occurrence of DVT and PE in patients after stroke speak about the benefit of applications of low molecular heparin for prevention of DVT and PE (8).

Modern trends of treatment in surgery, especially loco motor until few years ago represented a difficulty due to the appearance of DVT and pulmonary embolism, especially after surgery on the hip. Rehabilitation programs were significantly slowed due to frequent appearance of DVT and something less pulmonary embolism. With the introduction of prophylaxis protocols, referred secondary complications almost disappeared (9, 10).

Similar problems occur in patients with stroke. Rehabilitation programs must often be stopped because of the appearance of DVT and pulmonary embolism. Different attitudes about prophylaxis effects for these patients should be regulated by a unique algorithm that would reduce the possibility of discrepancy in therapeutic sense.

6. CONCLUSION

Secondary complications in the form of DVT and PE after stroke are a major burden, both for the patients—if they continue treatment in home conditions and for health workers that provide care. If the patients are in rehabilitation facilities in the continuation of treatment, then all programs of rehabilitation must be stopped, which has influence on the outcome of treatment—the duration of treatment and increase the treatment.

All studied patients had hemiplegia, which further highlights the need for more sophisticated medical prophylaxis. Physical therapy and mechanical methods of prophylaxis remain as an essential part of supportive preventive medication programs.

There is no definite algorithm for the prevention of complications in the term of DVT/PE. The current approach to the problem is individual and inadequate, and this applies especially to the initial use of low molecular heparin due to fear of possible subsequent brain...
hemorrhage and avoidance of mechanical methods of prophylaxis.

Consequently the effectiveness of treatment and its continuity is not fully realized. This reflects on duration of treatment and total rehabilitation process. These are the key reasons for the imperative to create a unique algorithm, which would include the use of low molecular heparin with mechanical support, and prophylaxis for certain categories of patients with stroke along with already known adjuvant hormonal therapy.

Data on fatal consequences in this study cannot be considered as valid as there is no insight on complications which occurred within primary neurology treatment.

DVT/PE after stroke is a clinical public health problem that can be reduced through prevention. Given the actuality of problems it is necessary to conduct scientific research on a wider basis which would set guidelines that will indicate the way of prevention and on what basis we can reduce the mortality and cost of treatment for patients after stroke.
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CALL FOR PAPERS AND PARTICIPATION
EFMI Special Topic Conference Reykjavik, Iceland, 2–4 June 2010
Seamless Care – Safe Care: The Challenges of Interoperability and Patient Safety in Health Care

We invite you to participate in the “EFMI Special Topic Conference 2010” in Reykjavik, Iceland, on 2–4 June 2010.

The EFMI Special Topic Conference in Reykjavik will be the only EFMI conference in Europe in 2010. This gives individuals and groups not being able to make the MEDINFO 2010 Conference in Capetown a platform for representing and discussing relevant health informatics topics.

The theme of the STC 2010 is “Seamless Care – Safe Care: the Challenges of Interoperability and Patient Safety in Health Care.” The objective of the conference is to bring to light the different aspects of interoperability and its implications for patient safety. It brings together various professionals who are investigating, developing and implementing solutions to meet the challenges of trustworthy electronic exchange of health information and the added value and benefits this can bring to the quality and safety of patient care.

The EU recommendation of 2008 on cross-border interoperability of electronic health record systems sets the goal to achieve European eHealth interoperability by the end of 2015. European countries are invited to undertake actions at five levels: the political level, the organisational level, the technical level, the semantic level and the level of education and awareness raising. Participants are invited to reflect on those aspects as well as other related and important topics.

Traceability as an important facilitator for interoperability and safety will be highlighted in the conference by a special workshop jointly organized by HL7 and GS1. Therefore the EFMI Working Groups “Electronic Health Records”, “Security, Safety and Ethics” and “Traceability” are especially engaged in this STC.

Besides keynotes and presentations of invited or submitted papers, the conference aims to encourage various forms of contributions such as workshops reporting project status, lessons learned and further strategies or expert panel discussions on specific subjects. Individual participants and organizations are encouraged to submit research as well as practice papers reflecting this aim.

All papers will be reviewed by the Scientific Program Committee. Accepted contributions will be divided into categories of papers indexed in PubMed and those not indexed. All accepted papers will be published in the Conference Proceedings.

The conference addresses, but not limited to, the following topics:

- Electronic Health Records, Personal Health Records
- Interoperability
- Patient Safety
- Process and Systems Evaluation
- Traceability
- Security, Privacy, Safety, Quality Standards
- Patient empowerment
- Patient Satisfaction
- Continuity of Care
- Device Integration
- CaseMix

Important dates:
- Deadline for the submission of papers: 4 January 2010
- Notification of acceptance: 2 March 2010
- Resubmission of accepted papers: 7 April 2010
- Conference: 2–4 June 2010

For more information please look at the conference website at www.sky.is/stc2010 or contact the Scientific Program Committee.