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ABSTRACT
Background: Prostate cancer is the second leading cause of cancer death in men, moreover when it develops metastasis. However, PSA detection in serum as current gold standard to measure disease progressivity had wide variability leading to confounding outcomes. MicroRNA-21 has diagnostic values for cancer over period of time researched, yet results are still inconclusive. Objective: The aim of the study was to conduct recent meta-analysis to assess reliability of miRNA-21 as diagnostic biomarker especially in progressivity of prostate cancer. Methods: Published papers from PubMed, Science Direct, and Embase as of 1 July 2021 assessing circulating miRNA-21 in progressivity of prostate cancer patients were analyzed using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis tool. Pooled sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative likelihood ratio (LR) and SROC assessed with 95 % confidence intervals were estimated using fixed-effects or random-effects models. Results: In total, we included 6 papers total of 651 samples reporting miRNA-21 capability of detecting progressive prostate cancer. The pooled sensitivity and specificity showed 0.91 (95% CI 0.88-0.94, I²=0%) and 0.89 (95% CI 0.85-0.92, I²=44.8%), respectively. Positive and negative likelihood ratio showed 7.18 (95% CI 4.31-11.96, I²=56%) and 0.11 (95% CI 0.07-0.16, I²=11.8%). SROC were assessed and got Area Under Curve around 97.4%. Conclusion: miRNA-21 could serve as biomarkers of prostate cancer progressivity since remarkable diagnostic value of circulating miRNA-21 in prostate cancer metastasis process. Keywords: miRNA-21, biomarker, prostate, cancer, progressivity, metastatic.

1. BACKGROUND
As a complex disease caused by multiple environmental and genetic factors prostate cancer is the second leading cause of death from cancer in men, moreover when it develops metastasis (1). In Europe, it causes 92,300 deaths every year (2). As a routine laboratory test, in clinical practice prostate specific antigen (PSA) in serum is not specific for prostate cancer, and some PSA levels evaluated may cause false positives due to infection or hyperplasia. However, until nowadays PSA detection in serum still become current gold standard to measure disease progressivity, that had wide variability leading to confounding outcomes. Thus, it is necessary to find new and effective biomarkers in order to diagnose progressivity of prostate cancer (1).

MicroRNA is a family of non-coding small RNAs (19-22 nucleotides), stable and easy to accurately measure. MicroRNAs are differentially expressed in normal tissues and cancer, contributing to the development and progression of cancer (3). It is well-known that miRNA-21 is an anti-apoptotic agent that acts through the p53 network. It can target and inhibit the expression of the tumor suppressor gene PTEN to promote prostate cancer cell proliferation and invasion (4, 5).

Regarding to the ability of miRNA-21 to detect progressivity of prostate cancer, a number of studies have been conducted. MicroRNA-21 has diagnostic values for cancer over period of time researched, yet results are still inconclusive. Porzycky et al found specificity of miRNA-21 to diagnose prostate cancer was 0.75, while Yang et al found it could reach 0.93 (6, 7). In order to accurately assess the diagnostic value of miRNA-21, we performed this meta-analysis.
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2. OBJECTIVE
The aim of the study was to conduct recent meta-analysis to assess reliability of miRNA-21 as diagnostic biomarker especially in progression of prostate cancer.

3. METHODS

Study Design
A meta-analysis was undertaken to determine the sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative likelihood ratio and SROC of miRNA-21 to detect progressive prostate cancer. Published papers from PubMed, Science Direct, and Embase as of 1 July 2021 assessing circulating miRNA-21 in prostate cancer patients were analyzed using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis tool and estimated using fixed-effects or random-effects models. The investigation was guided by Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-analysis (PRISMA) criteria (8).

Search strategy
A comprehensive searching up to 1 July 2021 through PubMed, Science Direct, and Embase was undertaken. Keyword terms combination from Medical Subjective Heading (MeSH) were utilized: [“microRNA-21” OR “miRNA-21” OR “miR-21”] AND [“prostate cancer” OR “prostate carcinoma”] AND [“diagnosis” OR “sensitivity” OR “specificity”]. English language restriction was applied. KPS and AFP independently searched the articles. In order to locate further publications, a manual reference search of pertinent research was done.

Eligibility criteria
The following studies met the inclusion criteria: a) retrospective, prospective, case-control or cross-sectional approaches, and published papers by manual search; b) assessing the diagnostic value of miRNA-21; and c) providing data for the calculation of diagnostic value and SROC with 95% Confidence Intervals. We rejected studies with irrelevant titles and/or abstracts, reviews, comments, dissertations that were not published into the journal, incomplete data, and inferior availability.

Quality assessment
The paper quality was assessed using the quality assessment of diagnostic accuracy studies-2 (QUADAS-2) tool.9 Two authors critically appraised the quality of the product (KPS and AFP). The QUADAS-2 was composed of patient selection, index test, reference standard, flow, and timing to measure risk of bias, and first three parameters were applied to assess applicability of the journal.

Data extraction
The following details were taken from each study: a) first author; b) publication year; c) country of center study; d) specimen type; e) source of control group; f) sample size; g) miRNA as control of normalization; h) miRNA as biomarker, i) diagnostic value including sensitivity, specificity, and likelihood ratio. Two separate authors (KPS and AFP) used a piloted data extraction form to obtain the data. A discussion was performed if there was a dispute between two writers.

Statistical analysis
The statistical analysis were using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis (CMA, New Jersey, USA) version 2.1 tool. The Z test was used to examine the significance of the pooled data (p <0.05 was considered statistically significant). Data were examined for potential publication bias first before significant risk variables were identified. A p-heterogeneity and I-square (I 2) Q-analysis was used to measure the degree of heterogeneity. A random-effect model was used if there was heterogeneity (p <0.05) or F>50%, and a fixed-effect model was used if there was not. The pooled sensitivity and specificity, positive and negative likelihood ratio, and area under curve (AUC) from summary receiver operating characteristics (SROC) curve with the corresponding 95% CI.

Two authors did statistical analysis to avoid analytical inaccuracies (KPS and AFP).

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of studies included in our analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>Prostate Cancer size</th>
<th>Control size</th>
<th>QUADAS-2 bias</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Kotb et al 2014</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>Benign prostatic disease</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Index test, reference standard, flow and timing were mentioned clearly, however patient selection were unclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Huang et al 2015</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Mononuclear cell</td>
<td>Healthy patient</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Patient selection, reference standard, flow and timing were mentioned clearly, however index test were unclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Gao et al 2016</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>Benign prostatic disease</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Index test, reference standard, flow and timing were mentioned clearly, however patient selection were unclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yang et al 2016</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Mononuclear cell</td>
<td>Benign prostatic disease</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Reference standard, flow and timing were mentioned clearly, however patient selection and index test were unclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Yang et al 2016</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Mononuclear cell</td>
<td>Healthy patient</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>All parameters (patient selection, index test, reference standard, flow and timing) were mentioned clearly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Porzycki et al 2018</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>Healthy patient</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>All parameters (patient selection, index test, reference standard, flow and timing) were mentioned clearly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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4. RESULTS

Eligible studies

Initial searching found 625 papers, of which 542 articles were rejected due to primary screening unrelated titles and/or abstracts. There were a total of 81 papers selected for full-text evaluation and due to insufficient data provided and duplication, we got 6 papers to enter the next step. The other 70 studies were eliminated due to a lack of data that could be used to estimate the diagnostic value and 95% confidence interval and 5 studies were eliminated by duplication cause. Our meta-analysis includes a total of 6 papers. The outcome of the literature search is displayed in a PRISMA flowchart (Figure 1). The baseline features of the research that we investigated are listed in Table 1.

Data synthesis

A total of 651 samples reporting miRNA-21 in prostate cancer patients from 6 papers was synthesized. The pooled sensitivity and specificity showed high value around 0.91 or 91% (95% CI 0.88-0.94, I²=0%) and 0.89 or 89% (95% CI 0.85-0.92, I²=44.8%), respectively as shown in Figure 2. Positive likelihood ratio showed 7.18 (95% CI 4.31-11.92) which is also high for biomarker candidate to propose. Negative likelihood ratio was also supportive toward the result was around 0.11 (95% CI 0.07-0.16, I²=11.8%). Likelihood ratios were showed in Figure 3. SROC were assessed and got broad range of Area Under Curve assessing miRNA-21 for progressive prostate cancer or castrate resistant prostate cancer around 97.4% as shown in Figure 4.

Potential publication bias

The publication bias assessment among articles was conducted using QUADAS-2 assessment tool. The reporting bias was summarized in Table 1.

5. DISCUSSION

The recurrence of progressive prostate cancer or castrate resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) is usually related to the excessive activation of androgen receptor. Recent

Figure 1. A flowchart of article selection in our study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>TP</th>
<th>FP</th>
<th>FN</th>
<th>TN</th>
<th>Control Size</th>
<th>Case Size</th>
<th>Sensitivity (95% CI)</th>
<th>Specificity (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kolb 2014</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.90 [0.55, 1.00]</td>
<td>0.90 [0.55, 1.00]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang 2015</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>0.88 [0.78, 0.94]</td>
<td>0.85 [0.75, 0.92]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gao 2016</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>0.88 [0.76, 0.95]</td>
<td>0.75 [0.55, 0.89]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang (b) 2016</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>92.0</td>
<td>0.93 [0.86, 0.98]</td>
<td>0.93 [0.85, 0.97]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang 2016</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>92.0</td>
<td>0.95 [0.88, 0.98]</td>
<td>0.93 [0.86, 0.97]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porzycyki 2018</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>0.90 [0.68, 0.99]</td>
<td>0.80 [0.44, 0.97]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Forest Plot of Sensitivity and Specificity of miRNA-21 in Prostate Cancer Detection
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The publication bias assessment among articles was conducted using QUADAS-2 assessment tool. The reporting bias was summarized in Table 1.

5. DISCUSSION

The recurrence of progressive prostate cancer or castrate resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) is usually related to the excessive activation of androgen receptor. Recent
STAT-3 have been found in the promoter region of miRNA-21 (12, 13). AP-1 activity is closely related to CRPC recurrence and STAT-3 has also been shown to be involved in prostate cancer metastasis (14, 15). In general, the high level of miRNA-21 may be attributed to the abnormal expression of transcriptional activators (such as AR and AP-1). The subsequent effects of miRNA-21 overexpression in turn promoted the occurrence of prostate tumors. Several target genes of miRNA-21 have been shown to inhibit tumor progression by inhibiting invasion, promoting apoptosis and cell cycle arrest. For example, the myristoylated alamine-rich protein kinase c substrate (MARCKS) is a direct target of miRNA-21 which plays a key role in mitogenesis, cell motility, and membrane transport. Therefore, miRNA-21 promotes cell motility, apoptosis resistance, and invasiveness of PC3 and DU-145 cells in part by targeting MARCKS (16). At the same time, a recent study showed that the reversal induction of cysteine-rich protein with Kazal motif (RECK) is another new target of miRNA-21; RECK and miRNA-21 have been shown inverse correlation from different stages of prostate cancer (17). The previous meta-analysis provided mean and SD or miRNA-21 expression levels fold change in prostate cancer patients versus control but did not provide any diagnostic information of it (18).

6. CONCLUSION

Our current study has identified that miRNA-21 could serve as biomarkers of prostate cancer detection since remarkable diagnostic value of circulating miRNA-21 in prostate cancer progressivity detection.
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