Effects of the putative probiotics *Bacillus licheniformis*, *Bacillus pumilus*, and *Bacillus subtilis* on white leg shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, immune response, gut histology, water quality, and growth performance
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**Abstract**

**Background:** A commercially significant species in the aquaculture sector globally, particularly in Egypt, is *Litopenaeus vannamei*.

**Aim:** The experiment's objective was to ascertain how Sanolife PRO-F impacted the growth, water quality, immunological response, and intestinal morphometry of *L. vannamei*.

**Methods:** In the current investigation, which lasted 12 weeks, Sanolife PRO-F was administered to shrimp post-larvae at diet doses of 0 (control), 1 (group one), 2 (group two), and 3 (group three) g/kg diet, respectively. Each experimental group had three repetitions.

**Results:** In the current study, shrimp fed on probiotic-treated diets showed a considerable improvement in growth performance measures and survival rate, and the nonspecific immune response was also enhanced. Shrimp fed probiotic diets had longer and more intestinal villi overall. Shrimp fed on the G2 and G3 diets showed no appreciable differences in growth or intestinal morphology. With the G2 and G3 diet, the water had lower concentrations of nitrite and ammonia.

**Conclusion:** The study's findings indicate that Sanolife PRO-F treatment at 2–3 g/kg feed promotes the growth of shrimp, immunological response, gut health and function, and water quality.
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**Introduction**

Large-scale initiatives to produce prawn, mULLET, sea bass, and sea bream were established in the Suez Canal region; project Lake Ghalion in Kafr-Elsheikh, and project of East Port Said in 2016 as a result of a national and governmental focus on expanding mariculture over the previous 3 years (USDA, 2016). Egypt produces over 70% of all marine fish produced in the region because the majority of North African countries rely more on fisheries harvests than aquaculture (Shaalan et al., 2018).

*Litopenaeus vannamei*, a crucial economic species, produces 80% of shrimp consumed worldwide. In 2017, there were 445 million metric tons of white leg prawns produced globally, with a total estimated value of 26.7 billion US dollars (Won et al., 2020). White leg prawns have been actively cultured due to the strong market demand for them, which has caused major issues such as infectious disease outbreaks. The most prevalent bacterial pathogen in prawn aquaculture is specifically the *Vibrio* bacterium, which has a negative influence on immunity, production, and survival (FAO, 2019; El-Saadony et al., 2022).

Dietary probiotics’ advantages on prawn aquaculture development, digestive enzyme activity, and disease resistance have been thoroughly documented by...
several authors. Probiotics are secure methods that are substituted for antibiotics in prawn aquaculture procedures. Regarding innate immune reactions, probiotics actually play a vital part in preventing and maintaining the balance in microbial defense mechanisms between those that are required and those that are excessive (Yan and Polk, 2011). In prawn cultures, numerous bacterial species have been demonstrated to promote growth, immunological responses, and disease resistance. Fish’s digestive tracts naturally include some of these bacteria, some of which have the capacity to create compounds with antibiotic action (Lee et al., 2017). They can thereby improve fish growth, feed efficiency, and immunological responses. Probiotics can be applied in the aquaculture sector to improve feed conversion efficiency and control pathogens. Probiotics are categorized as live microorganisms that, when consumed in appropriate amounts, aid the host. Probiotics function by producing substances that inhibit infections, competing with them for adhesion sites and resources, improving water quality, facilitating enzymatic digestion, and enhancing immune response (Zuo et al., 2019; El-Saadony et al., 2022).

One of the most researched and utilized bacteria in aquaculture for probiotics or biocontrol is *Bacillus* spp. Oral treatment of specific strains of *Bacillus* spp. spores or vegetative cells reduce the mortality of prawns caused by bacterial infections; potential reasons for this effect include stimulation of the host immune system and/or antagonism between the bacteria. *Bacillus* species release antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) that impede the growth of other microbes, particularly bacteria and fungi. Examples of these AMPs include bacillomycin, fengycin, iturin, surfactin, bacilysin, and subtilin. Since there is little chance that bacteria will develop resistance to such AMPs, it is promising to use AMP-producing *Bacillus* in aquaculture settings (Proespraiwong et al., 2023).

The world’s fastest-expanding industry for producing food is aquaculture. To provide the world’s expanding population’s growing need for protein, production is rising. Aquaculture intensification practices have an adverse effect on the environment, just like those in any other industry by causing the spread of infectious diseases and other pollution issues. According to Bondad-Reantaso et al. (2005), diseases and deteriorating environmental conditions frequently occur and cause significant economic losses. Due to this unproductive condition, chemicals are now being used more frequently to treat pathogenic bacterial diseases. Antibiotic usage led to the emergence of harmful bacteria that are resistant to them, a rise in the risk of bioaccumulation, and the weakening of the immune system in cultured organisms. Alternative methods, such as the use of probiotics, may therefore significantly improve fish farming procedures. Probiotics in water and feed are now crucial components of aquaculture. Probiotic bacteria have been shown to help their aquatic hosts by either enhancing host tolerance or immunity (Uma et al., 1999) or by preventing competition against pathogenic organisms (Austin et al., 1995; Gomez-Gil et al., 2000). These two outcomes both contribute to healthier and greater animal survival rates. In addition to creating essential development elements such as vitamins, amino acids, and gastrointestinal enzymes. During the growing period, probiotics have been demonstrated to promote feed absorption and gut microbiota diversity, which in response boosts growth rate and reduces feed conversion ratio (FCR) (Parker, 1974; Fuller, 1989; Rengpipat et al., 1998; Wang et al., 2005).

The primary factors affecting shrimp aquaculture are shrimp survival and growth. Higher shrimp production rates are supported by shrimp with good growth and a high survival rate. Due to the animal’s metabolic activity, the water quality of the pond changes as the culture period progresses. For higher survival and growth rates, all of these factors should be kept at their ideal values. Despite the fact that a number of pond probiotics have been introduced to the market, a continuing search is being made to isolate potent probiotic bacteria for use in pond applications. Probiotics are essential for the host immune system’s development and function. According to reports, the gut microbiota performs a variety of functions, including improving the mucosal system, digesting, angiogenesis, and disease defense (Begum et al., 2018; El-Saadony et al., 2022).

Shrimp’s innate immune system is crucial to their defense and is actually unable to react to particular vaccines. Thus, vaccine restrictions might be made up for by using *Bacillus subtilis* as a potential probiotic in prawn farming (Zokaefar et al., 2012). Maintaining appropriate environmental conditions and physical and chemical characteristics of the rearing water is a significant difficulty in prawn aquaculture. Low water exchange rates and poor water quality may contribute to persistent or reoccurring infections. When culture conditions are taken into account, probiotic bacteria can be useful for managing prawn health; however, little is known about how probiotics affect bioremediation. Previous research has revealed that numerous extracellular enzymes and AMPs are produced by *B. subtilis* strains (Ochoa-Solano and Olmos-Soto, 2006; Sutyak et al., 2008; Defeng et al., 2013).

The purpose of the research was to evaluate the effects of a probiotic combination of different strains of *Bacillus* on *L. vannamei* growth performance, immunological response, intestinal morphometry, and water quality cultured in Ghalion Governmental Shrimp Farm, Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate, Egypt.

### Materials and Methods

**Conditions and experimental design**

Sanolife PRO-F, INVE Aquaculture, Belgium; total amount of probiotic bacteria utilized for study: $1.0 \times 10^{10}$
CFU/g; probiotic mixture of three strains (B. subtilis 3.25 × 10^6 CFU/g, Bacillus licheniformis 3.50 × 10^6 CFU/g, and Bacillus pumilus 3.25 × 10^6 CFU/g), was added daily to the basal diet (a commercial prawn pellet diet with 43.79% protein made by the GHALION® factory in Kafr El-Sheikh, Egypt), by using sunflower oil (10 ml/kg feed) (Elsabagh et al., 2018).

In the current research, four experimental groups, G0: control, G1: 1 g/kg feed, G2: 2 g/kg feed, and G3: 3 g/kg feed, each with three replicates, were conducted in rectangular concrete ponds measuring 40 m² each. Prawn larvae were given the experimental diets for a total of 12 weeks at feeding levels ranging from 7% of body weight for the initial 4 weeks, 6% of total weight for the second 4 weeks, and 5% of total weight for the last 4 weeks. Three times every day, at 8:00, 12:00, and 16:00, the larvae were fed.

**Experimental feeding trial**

The experiment with feeding was conducted during the year 2021 at the Ghalion Governmental Shrimp Hatchery in the Egyptian province of Kafr El-Sheikh. For 1 week, 60,000 wholesome white-leg prawn post larvae (PL18) were acclimated in 12 concrete ponds. Twelve separate concrete ponds each measuring 40 m² were randomly filled with 5,000 prawn larvae (average weight: 50 g) and kept in an aerated environment for a week while being fed artemia. According to the approach taken by Innes (1966), the ponds received a steady supply of filtered seawater, and all ponds received uniform aeration using air stones and plastic regulators for adjusting air pressure.

**Growth parameters**

For a period of 12 weeks, prawn growth metrics and feed intake (FI) were tracked every 2 weeks. About 30 prawns were randomly selected from each pond every 2 weeks to be weighed. According to Robertson et al. (2000), Felix and Sudharsan (2004), and Venkat et al. (2004), the growth parameters were calculated. Shrimp were caught with a 50-mesh size net, put in a sealed polypropylene container, and transferred to the laboratory. Before weighing, prawn samples were dried to eliminate excess water using clean, sterile filter paper. Using a digital balance (DTF, USA, HZ, and HUAZHI), prawns were weighed. Variables included in the growth assessment were calculated according to Annet (1985) and De Silva and Anderson (1995).

**Water quality monitoring**

Each pond’s dissolved oxygen (DO) content was measured at a depth of 50 cm below the water’s surface using a DO meter (AQ 600 Milwaukee, Romania). Water quality indicators were checked on a weekly basis. Each pond’s three water samples were taken by submerging a 250 ml sterilized glass bottle 15 cm beneath the water’s surface. According to Eaton et al. (2005), to assess the pH, temperature, salinity, total alkalinity, and ammonia nitrogen and nitrite using a portable colorimeter (Martini MI 405), physio-chemical examination of water samples was carried out.

**Analysis of nonspecific immune responses**

According to Won et al. (2020), at the end of the experiment, six shrimp from each group were sacrificed to provide serum samples for the investigation of nonspecific immune responses, such as lysozyme activity, superoxide dismutase, and myeloperoxidase.

**Intestinal morphometry**

For histomorphometric analysis, a 5 mm transverse fragment from the gut at the third abdominal segment from three shrimps from each group (G0, G1, G2, and G3) was collected. The samples were instantly fixed for 24 hours in a 10% neutral buffer formalin solution. Following consecutive dehydration processes in alcohol (70%–100%), samples were embedded in paraffin blocks. Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained slices of 4 m thickness were examined under a light microscope, according to Bancroft and Gamble (2007). The length of the intestinal folds was measured using image analysis software (NIH, Bethesda, MD).

**Statistical analysis**

The mean and standard error of all data are shown. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant for all statistical analyses. One-way variance analysis and Turkey’s group differences detection were also conducted. All analyses were performed using SPSS “Version 22.”

**Ethical approval**

The guidelines for the study’s conduct were provided by the Kafrelsheikh University in Egypt’s Animal Ethics Committee, which approved the protocol and ethics of the study (KFS-IACUC/143/2023).

**Results**

**Growth efficiency and biometric measurements**

Growth performance metrics [final weight, FI, weight gain rate (WGR), Body weight gain (BWG), and specific growth rate (SGR)] showed an improvement when G2 and G3 diets were fed compared to G1 and G0 diets (Table 1 and Fig. 1). The G2 and G3 groups did not differ significantly from each other (p > 0.05); however, there were significant differences for each measurement (p < 0.05). All performance metrics showed the highest values in the G2 and G3 groups, then in the G1 and G0 treated groups. The G3 group had the lowest FCR value, followed by the G2, G1, and G0 groups (p < 0.05), but there were no discernible variations between the various groups. Shrimp in the G2 and G3 groups fared better in terms of survival than shrimp in the G1 and control groups (p < 0.05). Shrimp fed the G2 and G3 diets showed no variation from each other (p > 0.05).

**Water quality**

The DO concentration was kept constant at 6 mg/l, the water’s salinity ranged from 30 to 31, and all treated groups experienced stable pH values of 8.3 and total alkalinitics of 144–145 during the experiment. In comparison to the G1 and control (G0) groups, the
ammonia concentration and nitrite levels in the G2 and G3 groups were significantly lower \((p < 0.05)\). Table 2 lists the elements that influence water quality.

**Nonspecific immune reactions**

Table 3 displays the immunological responses overall. When compared to shrimp on the control diet, the lysozyme activity in shrimp-fed probiotic diets was significantly increased \((p < 0.05)\). While myeloperoxidase activity did not differ substantially between the probiotic therapy groups, superoxide dismutase activity was considerably higher in the G2 and G3 groups than in the G1 and control groups \((p < 0.05)\).

**Gut histology**

Table 4 and Figures 2 and 3 provide a summary of the findings of the morphometric investigation. Three layers; epithelial, connective tissue, and muscular, make up the wall of the shrimp’s midgut. The epithelial layer forms numerous intestinal folds which are composed of simple columnar cells (enterocytes) resting on a noncellular basement membrane. Highly vascular loose connective tissue makes up the lamina propria. Figure 3 shows the two smooth muscle layers that make up the visceral muscle of the gut: the inner circular layer and the outer longitudinal layer.
Histological evaluations of the midgut were done 12 weeks after probiotic administration. The length and number of intestinal folds were considerably enhanced in the G1, G2, and G3 groups compared to the G0 group ($p < 0.0001$), demonstrating the evident impact of feed supplementation with the *Bacillus* strains mixed probiotic on this target organ. There was no statistically significant difference between the G2 and G3 groups (Table 4 and Figs. 2 and 3), despite the fact that intestinal fold length grew considerably in the G2 and G3 groups compared to the other groups.

### Discussion

Probiotics have a lot of potential for ensuring healthy shrimp with better production (Gomez-Gil *et al.*, 2000). Both the probiotic treatment and control groups kept

---

**Table 2.** Effect of *Bacillus* strains mixture probiotic on water quality in *L. vannamei*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G1</td>
<td>G2</td>
<td>G3</td>
<td>Control (G0)</td>
<td>SEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO (mg/l)</td>
<td>6.421</td>
<td>6.450</td>
<td>6.459</td>
<td>6.371</td>
<td>0.2333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>27.59</td>
<td>27.82</td>
<td>27.75</td>
<td>27.65</td>
<td>0.08819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>8.362</td>
<td>8.382</td>
<td>8.362</td>
<td>8.376</td>
<td>0.01453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity (ppt)</td>
<td>30.51</td>
<td>30.67</td>
<td>30.54</td>
<td>30.74</td>
<td>1.155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia (mg/l)</td>
<td>0.2181$^b$</td>
<td>0.1168$^c$</td>
<td>0.1098$^c$</td>
<td>0.2254$^a$</td>
<td>0.07504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrite (mg/l)</td>
<td>0.1392$^b$</td>
<td>0.07441$^c$</td>
<td>0.05333$^d$</td>
<td>0.1516$^a$</td>
<td>0.04011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total alkalinity (mg/l)</td>
<td>145.4</td>
<td>145.4</td>
<td>145.1</td>
<td>144.4</td>
<td>3.333</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G0, G1, G2, and G3, groups in which *L. vannamei* was fed *Bacillus* mixture at diet doses of 0, 1, 2, and 3 g/kg, respectively. Results are shown as means ± standard error, with distinct superscript letters denoting means that are substantially different at ($p < 0.05$) within the same row.

**Table 3.** Nonspecific immune responses of *L. vannamei* fed the experimental diets for 12 weeks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G0</td>
<td>G1</td>
<td>G2</td>
<td>G3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysozyme (U/ml)</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.02$^b$</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.05$^a$</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.03$^a$</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.04$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superoxide dismutase</td>
<td>92.9 ± 2.12$^b$</td>
<td>94.6 ± 1.38$^a$</td>
<td>97.6 ± 3.69$^a$</td>
<td>97.7 ± 2.66$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myeloperoxidase</td>
<td>3.51 ± 0.40</td>
<td>3.66 ± 0.38</td>
<td>3.71 ± 0.09</td>
<td>3.67 ± 0.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G0, G1, G2, and G3, groups in which *L. vannamei* was fed a probiotic mixture at diet doses of 0, 1, 2, and 3 g/kg, respectively. Findings are shown as means ± standard error, with distinct superscript letters denoting means that are substantially different at ($p < 0.05$) within the same row.

**Table 4.** Morphometry of the intestinal folds in shrimp fed a probiotic blend of *Bacillus* strains.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G0</td>
<td>G1</td>
<td>G2</td>
<td>G3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestinal folds length</td>
<td>6.863 ± 0.6346$^c$</td>
<td>12.48 ± 0.8666$^a$</td>
<td>15.33 ± 0.3657$^a$</td>
<td>17.66 ± 0.6056$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G0, G1, G2, and G3, groups in which *L. vannamei* was fed a probiotic mixture showing intestinal folds' morphometry. Findings are shown as means ± standard error, with distinct superscript letters denoting means that are substantially different at ($p < 0.05$) within the same row.
track of *L. vannamei*‘s growth performance as well as body weight, BWG, FI, FCR, WGR, and SGR every 2 weeks throughout the culture period. The findings demonstrate that over the course of the culture, *L. vannamei* treated with probiotics outperformed the control group in terms of body weight, BWG, FI, FCR, WGR, and SGR. Similar findings have been reported by Suralikar and Sahu (2001) and Venkat et al. (2004) in *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*, Ziaei-Nejad et al. (2006) in *Fenneropenaeus indicus*, Kai et al. (2014) in probiotics treated *L. vannamei*. According to Irianto and Austin (2002), it is likely that probiotics have increased feed consumption; completely digested the feed consumed, and stimulated appetite by producing vitamins and breaking down indigestible compounds in the diet. Furthermore, favorable water quality factors would have enhanced shrimp growth and growth-related indicators.

Feeding diets supplemented with *Bacillus* combination considerably improved growth performance metrics, indicating the probiotic’s contribution to reducing stress factors and enhancing fish health; similar results reported by Mandiki et al. (2011) and Won et al. (2020) provide similar results in Eurasian perch *Perca fluviatilis* L. larvae. Many studies have demonstrated that adding *Bacillus* probiotics to a diet could increase feed efficiency, while also enhancing nutrient digestion and absorption. In addition, it might establish a colony in the fish gut, promoting the synthesis of fatty and amino acids, the stimulation of digestive enzymes, and the purging of toxic feed components (Balcazar et al., 2007; Avella et al., 2010; Merrifield et al., 2010; Mandiki et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2017; Kewcharoen and Srisapoome, 2019). By improving the host’s gut microbial balance, probiotics administered through feed help the host’s digestion (Gatesoupe, 1999; Moriarty, 1999; Won et al., 2020). When treated in combination, probiotic species should have a stronger impact on shrimp growth than when applied individually. Numerous studies have shown that *Bacillus* can boost disease resistance, remove harmful bacteria from the gut, and improve fish health (Hostins et al., 2017; Kewcharoen and Srisapoome, 2019). G3 and G2 diets are more effective growth promoters than either G1 or G0 diet, according to the results of this study’s analysis of shrimp body weight, BWG, FI, FCR, WGR, and SGR. Probiotics have been shown to work in aquaculture in a number of different ways, including the production of inhibitory compounds, an increase in host immune response, and an improvement in host nutrition through the production of extra digesting enzymes (Balcazar et al., 2016). According to studies on *Penaeus monodon* using *Bacillus* bacteria, growth, survival, as well as immunity were improved (Rengpipat et al., 2000). Supplementation with *Bacillus* species, *B. megaterium*, and *B. licheniformis* boosted *L. vannamei*’s growth, immunity, and digestive enzyme function as well, this study’s findings also showed that utilizing a probiotic combination sped up shrimp growth. Compared to the G1 and control groups, shrimp survival in the G2 and G3 groups was considerably
higher \((p < 0.05)\). Between shrimp fed the G2 and G3 diets, there were no appreciable differences \((p > 0.05)\). The effects of the probiotic supplement on growth, the immune system, and histomorphological value may be responsible for the shrimp's higher survival \((\text{Kamarudin et al., 1994; Chiu et al., 2007; Zhou et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2011})\). In the current investigation, the total alkalinity was 144–145, the pH was 8.3, the water salinity ranged from 30 to 31, and the DO concentration was kept at 6 mg/l between the control and probiotic-supplemented groups, over various time courses were not statistically different. The ammonia concentration and nitrite levels in the G2 and G3 diet groups were significantly lower than those in the G1 and control groups. Probiotics have probably contributed to better water quality \((\text{Moriarty, 1999})\). These findings could be related to the higher proliferation of helpful bacteria in ponds where shrimp were fed diets enriched with Bacillus, which would then improve the water quality and, as a result, shrimp health and performance \((\text{Dalmin et al., 2001; Zhou et al., 2018})\). These results agreed with Hostins et al. \((2017)\), in which shrimp intestines can be colonized by Bacillus instead of Vibrio. The Sanolife probiotic was added to feed rather than being directly injected into the pond water and our investigation provides no proof that it is present in large quantities. Sanolife probiotic is added to water or applied to feed. We need further comparative studies on the effects of Bacillus probiotics on the bacterial ecology and water quality of ponds. In the current investigation, probiotic-treated groups displayed higher lysozyme activity than the control group \((p < 0.05)\). In addition, shrimp fed the G2 and G3 diets had considerably higher superoxide dismutase activity than shrimp fed the G1 and control diets \((p < 0.05)\), these results agreed with Zopp et al. \((2001)\) and Salinas et al. \((2005)\). The administration of probiotics that are mutually beneficial and fill different niches in the digestive tract may strengthen or extend the beneficial effects on the host immune system and general health. According to the above results, there was a midgut morphometric study found. Results showed that the use of the Bacillus strains mixture probiotic significantly enhanced the mucosal structure, which influences digestive function, nutrient absorption, and the immune system by forming protective barriers to prevent the colonization of opportunistic pathogens \((\text{Wongsasak et al., 2015; Amoah et al., 2019})\). According to these results, large dosages of the bacillus probiotic mixture lengthened intestinal villi and increased the number of intestinal folds, which considerably increased in the G2 and G3 groups in comparison to the other groups. The large absorptive surface is enhanced by the length and number of additional intestinal folds, allowing the host to absorb more nutrients \((\text{Liu et al., 2009})\).

Conclusion

In my conclusion, the current study amply reveals that Bacillus strain supplementation had an interesting effect on L. vannamei growth performance, survival, immunological response, and water quality, all of which increased significantly. It suggests that the interplay of multiple probiotic bacteria may benefit the growth of the prawn. Supplementing with Bacillus probiotics also helped the shrimp’s habitat and lengthened and multiplied the intestinal villi. Therefore, it seems promising to use a probiotic Bacillus mixture in prawn production. This strategy may be a superior one for the expansion of sustainable aquaculture.
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