Diabetic ulcer severity score: clinical validation and outcome
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ABSTRACT

Background: Foot ulcers are a common complication of diabetes and represent a major source of morbidity. Variation in the clinical presentation of this disease has resulted in the paucity of evidence to guide optimal clinical management. A validated scoring system might help the clinicians and researchers in everyday assessment and management of patients or the development and assessment of new therapies. Diabetic ulcer severity score (DUSS) is one of the latest wound based system of classification which needs to be validated. The objective of this study was to clinically validate diabetic ulcer severity score with patient outcome.

Methods: 100 patients were prospectively assessed from October 2012 to March 2014 for four clinical parameters - palpable pedal pulses absence (score 1) or presence (score 0), probing to bone yes (score 1) or no (score 0). The site of ulcer- toe (score 0) or foot (score 1) ulcer. Multiple ulcers scored as 1, single ulcers scored as 0. The DUSS was calculated by adding these to a maximum of 4. Wounds were followed-up until healing or amputation. Kaplan-Meier analysis; Chi-Square tests were used for statistical analysis.

Results: Analyses showed a significantly higher probability of healing for patients with palpable pulses, no probing to bone, toe ulcers, and single ulcer. A decreasing probability of healing for absent pedal pulses, probing to bone, foot ulcers and multiple ulcers with increasing amputation rates.

Conclusions: Lower DUSS score was strongly associated with healing and higher score with amputation.
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INTRODUCTION

Foot ulcers are a common complication of diabetes and represent a major source of morbidity. Fifteen percent of diabetics develop foot ulcers during their life time with significant health related decrease in quality of life and consumption of a great deal of healthcare resources.¹

A number of foot ulcer classification systems for example, the Meggit-Wagner system and the University of Texas systems have been devised in an attempt to categorize ulcers more effectively and thereby allow effective comparison of the outcome of routine management in different centers and treatment strategies. These systems are variously based on the site of ulcer, its depth, and presence/absence of neuropathy, infection and peripheral arterial disease and have been used to compare the outcomes.

DUSS (diabetic ulcer severity score) is one of the latest wound based system of classification which needs to be validated.²

METHODS

Method of collection of data

Total of 100 diabetic patients with diabetic foot ulcers attending surgical department in Sri Siddharatha Medical College and Hospital were recruited. The baseline
demographic data which included age, sex, occupation, education status, habits, socioeconomic status and treatment history were taken.

Ulcers were labeled infected if a purulent discharge was present with two other local signs (warmth, erythema, lymphangitis, lymphadenopathy, edema, pain).

Wound depth was evaluated using a sterile blunt probe. The ability to probe to bone with the presence of local or systemic infection and suggestive radiological features provided a clinical diagnosis of osteomyelitis.3

Peripheral vascular disease was clinically defined by the absence of both pedal pulses, patients were categorized as having single or multiple ulcerations on the same foot. In patients with multiple ulcers, the wound with the highest grading were selected for analysis. For wounds with identical grading, the larger wound was chosen. Grading of wounds is shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Grades of ulcer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ulcer grades</th>
<th>Wound depth as measured by sterile blunt probe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G-1</td>
<td>Dermis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-2</td>
<td>Subcutaneous tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-3</td>
<td>Fascia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-4</td>
<td>Muscle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-5</td>
<td>Bone</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unhealed ulcers were followed up for a minimum period of 6 months. Once a patient’s ulcer had healed completely either by primary healing or skin grafting or a lower-limb amputation was performed, the outcome was noted and the patient was deemed to have completed the study.

Diabetic ulcer severity score (DUSS)

Ulcers were classified by the below mentioned variables. Diabetic ulcer severity score (DUSS) was calculated by adding these separate grading to a theoretical maximum of 4. Parameters and scores are shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Diabetic ulcer severity scoring system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Score 0</th>
<th>Score 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Palpable pedal pulses</td>
<td>Presence</td>
<td>Absence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probing to bone</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulcer site</td>
<td>Toes</td>
<td>Foot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulcer number</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Healing was defined as complete epithelialisation. Amputation rate was defined as the percentage of patients undergoing minor or major amputation within the observation period. Toe or forefoot amputations were taken as minor amputation and below or above knee amputation were taken as major amputation.

Follow up

These patients were followed up in the surgical outpatient clinic once in fortnight for 1st month, then once in a month till the ulcer healed or for a minimum period of up to 6 months. Ulcer healing was assessed.

Inclusion criteria

Age limit: 20-90 years.

All subjects suffering from diabetes mellitus as per World Health Organization criteria that have foot ulcers.

- Symptoms of diabetes plus random blood sugars >200 mg/dl.
- (A.) Fasting blood sugars >126 mg/dl. (B.) Two hour plasma glucose levels >200 mg/dl.

Exclusion criteria

- Venous stasis ulcers with diabetes mellitus
- All patients with less than two follow up visits during observation period
- Non diabetic neuropathic ulcers
- Ulcers above the ankle
- All non-diabetics with foot ulcers.

Statistical methods

Data collected was entered in Microsoft Excel Professional 2010, Microsoft corporation and analyzed using statistical package for social sciences version 20.0, IBM corporation, 2011.

Descriptive statistics such as mean, SD was calculated. Chi-square test was used as test of significance. P-value <0.001 is considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

In our study out of 100 patients 81 were male and 19 female. Most common age group affected with diabetic foot was 51-60 years followed by 41-50 years with mean age of study group was 57±12 years.

Most common DUSS among study population was 1(44 patients) followed by 2 (21 patients). Peripheral pulses were absent in 24 patients while probe to bone test was positive in 43 patients. Gangrene was present in 28 patients.
Primary healing occurred in 55 patients and total of 45 patients underwent amputation as shown in Table 3. In amputation group 8 were female and 37 were male. 17 patients underwent major amputations among which 13 male and 4 female. 29 patients underwent minor amputation among which 25 were male and 4 female.

Table 3: DUSS versus clinical outcome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DUSS score</th>
<th>Clinical outcome Healing</th>
<th>Clinical outcome Amputation</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DUSS score was significantly associated with clinical outcome (P<0.001) as shown in Table 4. With every unit increase in DUSS proportion of patient healing decreased significantly.

Table 4: Chi-Square tests for significance of DUSS with clinical outcome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pearson Chi- Square</td>
<td>63.133</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood ratio</td>
<td>78.619</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kaplan Meier analysis showed that patient with DUSS of 0 have 100% probability of healing. DUSS of 1 had 16% probability of amputation while that of 2 had 81% probability of amputation. Patient with DUSS 3 and 4 had 100% probability of amputation.

DISCUSSION

Diabetic foot is a serious complication of diabetes and also has significant socioeconomic impact. Diabetic foot ulcers will complicate the disease in more than 15% of the people with diabetes during their lifetime. Prompers L et al have reported that the lifetime risk of developing a foot ulcer in diabetic patients may be as high as 25%. In India, diabetic foot infection is a common cause for hospital admission among diabetic patients. Prevalence of foot infection in diabetic patients in India is 26%-34% and is caused by a number of sociocultural practices.

Most common age group affected with diabetic foot was between 51-60 years, Second group being between 41-50 years. Mean age group was 57±12 years. Range of the age was 27-90 years. Similar results in various studies conducted by Viswanathan et al where mean age was 60.6 years, Prompers L et al, a mean age of 64.7 years, Deribe B et al a mean age of 50.7 years, was seen. Paul, et al in a multicenter trial over three continents, found similar finding of mean age of 66.7 years. Graham lee et al in a Scottish study reported a mean age of 67.4 years. National hospital discharge survey, looking at 275,000 inpatient records from 500 hospitals since 1996 revealed that elderly diabetics had twice the risk of developing a foot ulcer, three times the risk of developing a foot abscess and four times the risk of developing Osteomyelitis.

In our study we have clinically validated the DUSS score based on the eventual outcome of the wound similar to as shown by the study conducted by Beckert et al. They noted that a lower DUSS score was strongly associated with healing.

In our study according to the Kaplan Meier analysis the probability of healing with score 0 was 100%, 84% probability of healing for score 1 while that of score 2 had 19% probability of healing. Patient with DUSS 3 and 4 had 0% probability of healing.

Beckert et al reported primarily healing of 74% (n = 1,000), Prompers et al, 77% (n = 1,229), Oyibo et al, 65% (n = 194), Jeffcoate et al, 66% (n = 449), and Gul et al, 72% (n = 200). In our study the results showed that presence of gangrene in diabetic foot carries a hazard ratio of 0.351 (95% CI 0.171 - 0.718) of amputation or has a 64.9% hazard of amputation. Prompers L et al observed that peripheral arterial disease and infection has a major impact on healing rates. Armstrong et al. (University of Texas classification system) accordingly, upon analysis of the odds of non-healing per PAD × infection status, it was only in those patients with both PAD and infection that the odds of non-healing were markedly increased compared with those without PAD or infection. Jan Apelqvist suggested that there is a need to introduce and recognize decreased perfusion or impaired circulation as indicator for the need of re-vascularisation in the diabetic foot to achieve healing. Karhikesalimam A et al in his review of various scoring system found that infection and ischemia contribute to impaired healing of ulcer. Graham lee et al in his study proved that non-infected ulcers were more likely to heal than infected ulcers (81 versus 69%; P <0.02). The rate of healing for patients with absent pulses was similar to those with neuropathy (89.7 versus 91.7%), but in patients who had both clinical criteria, the healing rate was significantly lower (61.2%; P < 0.0001)10, but however Maria candida Persis using the SAD classification, found that there was no difference in outcome in presence of ischaemia.

In our study, presence of probe to bone carried a hazard ratio of 0.024 (95% CI 0.005 - 0.111) of amputation or had 97.6% hazard of amputation (95% CI 88.9% - 99.5%) than absence of probe to bone. Karhikesalingam A et al also in assessment of various scoring system showed that depth of ulcer had an impact on healing ulcer. Lee G et al suggested that depth of ulcer as one of the major predictors in healing of ulcer, Maria candida...
Most timely footwear, amputation may

This (toe 8.4%.

within candida patients Graham with systems Karthikesalingam suggested that an infection in the diabetic foot is a limb-threatening condition and was the immediate cause for amputation in 25-50% of diabetic patients.6,15

Karthikesalingam A, in his systematic review of scoring systems for diabetic foot ulcers he found that surgical removal of bone is required in up to 27% of these patients with 0.5-5 per 1000 progressing to major amputation.16 Graham lee et al in a Scottish study found 12% of patients required major or minor amputation10 Maria candida Persis in a Brazilian study reported that 12% of patients underwent amputation.17

Margolis et al conducted a cohort study of 24,616 individuals with a diabetic neuropathic foot ulcer treated within a multicenter wound care network.10 Total of 1653 (6.7%) individuals had an amputation and 46.3% of these amputations were of a toe or ray (minor amputation). In the more than 10-year follow-up period, the percentage of those who had an amputation varied between 5.6% and 8.4%. Of those who had an amputation, the percentage that had a minor amputation increased over time from 4.0% in the earliest years to more than 60% in the later years of observation.

Overall 45 (45.0%) of 100 people had amputations in our study. Major amputation (below - or above-knee amputation) was done for 11.0% and minor Amputation (toe or forefoot amputations) was done in 34.0% of patients which is higher as compared to previous studies. This can be attributed to lower socio-economic status of majority of patients included in our study, which is supported by Kington and Smith theory that economics may play a role in list of complications.19 Wachtel MS has also found in his study that comparisons of amputation rates have not been fully performed with specific regard to family poverty and diet, proper footwear, appropriate assessment of foot injuries and timely medical interventional impact the probability of a lower-extremity amputation.19

Most commonly ulcers were of DUSS Score of 1 followed by Score 2. Mean score was 1.56±1.113. 7 (9.4%) out of 44 people with score 1 had amputations, 17 (25.5%) out of 21 people with score 2 had amputations, 14 (90.6%) out of 14 people with score 3 had amputations, 7 (100.0%) out of 7 people with score 4 had amputations.

Early Minor amputation can prevent a later major amputation.20 Seven (15.90%) of 44 patients with score 1 had minor amputation, 14 (66.66 %) of 21 patients with score 2 had minor amputation, 10 (71.42 %) of 14 patients with score 3 had minor amputations, 3 (42.85 %) of 7 patients with score 4 had minor amputations. Minor amputations were more common in patients with DUSS Score of 2 in our study.

When the DUSS score was compared with the proportion of individuals undergoing amputation it was noted that a total of 3 (14.28 %) of 21 people with score 2, 4 (28.57%) of 14 people with score 3, 4 (57.14%) of 7 people with score 4 had major amputations in our study. None of the patients with score 0, 1 had major amputation.

In the original study by Beckert et al patients with a score of 0 had no risk of major amputation, while patients with a score of 1 had a 2.4%, patients with a score of 2 had a 7.7%, patients with a score of 3 had an 11.2%, and patients with a score of 4 had a 3.8%. In comparison in our present study none of the patients with score 0, 1 and 2 had major amputation, 16 (30.2%) of 53 people with score 3 had major amputations; 19 (55.9%) out of 34 people with score 4 had major amputations.

CONCLUSION

DUSS scoring system provides an easy diagnostic tool for predicting probability of healing or amputation by combining four clinically assessable wound based parameters. Study groups can be stratified depending on severity of ulcers and thus can help provide a simple, streamlined approach in clinical setting without need of any advanced investigative tool, but it does not alter the procedure of wound management.

Lower DUSS score was strongly associated with healing and higher score with amputation. Any lasting medical treatment or healing course is doomed without patient involvement. Regular foot examinations are important in detecting co-morbid pre-ulcerative calluses. Surgeon practices, techniques, team work, care strategies still demonstrate the best results.
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