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Comparison of operative procedures for re-leaks duodenal perforation: a cross-sectional analysis from a tertiary care hospital in a developing country
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ABSTRACT

Background: Re-leaks following surgical closure of duodenal perforations is a well known entity. Considering the paucity of data on patients with re-leak from Indian population, the present study was carried out.

Methods: The present study is a prospective audit of patients diagnosed with duodenal re-leak and surgical procedures for arresting the leak was done. Hospital indoor case records were reviewed from 2005 to 2010. Following details were collected: demographic details (age and sex); presenting complaints; success of the surgical procedures to arrest re-leak and overall survival of patients. Conservative measures, 3 tubes method, jejunal patch, T tube duodenostomy and Rohondia’s cholecystoduodenoplasty were the type of procedures routinely performed for such patients. Descriptive statistics was used to represent various variables-mean (SD) for continuous and proportions (percentages) for categorical variables.

Results: A total of 41 patients with duodenal re-leak requiring intervention were identified with mean (range) of age in years of 45 (25-65). The following types of procedures were carried out to arrest duodenal re-leaks: 3 tubes method (4/41, 9.8%), jejunal patch (7/41, 17.1%), T tube duodenostomy (1/41, 2.4%) and Rohondia’s cholecystoduodenoplasty (16/41, 39%) and conservative (13/41, 31.7%). A total of 75% (12/16) success in stopping the leak was observed with Rohondia’s cholecystoduodenoplasty followed by 1/4 (25%) with 3 tubes duodenostomy, one each with jejunal patch (14.3%) and conservative techniques (7.7%) and none with T tube duodenostomy (P-0.1; not significant). A total of 32/41 (78%) patients died following the surgery for duodenal re-leak of which nearly four-fifths of them (26/32, 81%) died due to sepsicaemia, 5/33 (15.2%) had pulmonary complications and 1/33 (3%) due to perforation.

Conclusions: To conclude, the present study gives baseline data on patients who have undergone various surgical procedures for arresting duodenal perforation but had re-leaks, in a tertiary care hospital of a developing country. The better outcomes associated with Rohondia’s cholecystoduodenoplasty was found in comparison to other surgical and conservative measures.
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INTRODUCTION

Gastro-duodenal perforations are common and usually arise as a complication of peptic ulcer disease and 90% have been documented to occur in duodenum. The annual incidence rates of peptic ulcer disease were 0.10-0.19% for physician-diagnosed and 0.03-0.17% based on hospitalization data. Peptic ulcer perforation is a serious...
complication affecting almost 2-10% of peptic ulcer patients. In fact, a recent systematic review of various studies found a 12.2% (95% CI: 2.5-21.9) average long-term recurrence of perforation. A study in the Netherlands estimated the per person costs of perforation of EUR 19,000. One third of patients with perforation undergoing surgical interventions have been reported to have some complications. An average mortality of 23.5% (95% CI: 15.5-31.0) was observed due to perforation from a meta-analysis. Longer duration of perforation symptoms of more than 4 days and age >30 years were found to be risk factors of mortality in perforated patients. Considering the paucity of outcome studies on perforated peptic ulcer disease in Indian population, the present study was carried out as an observational study.

METHODS

Study ethics

The present study was a retrospective review of indoor case records of patients who were diagnosed with re-leak following surgery for duodenal ulcers. The study was carried out after obtaining approval from institutional ethics committee and a waiver for informed consent was obtained. The study was carried out in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki 2008 ethical guidelines for conducting research on human beings.

Study procedure

The study was carried out as a prospective audit. Case records of patients from 2005 to 2010 diagnosed as duodenal re-leak for which surgical procedures for arresting was done, were scrutinized and the following data were collected: demographic details (age and sex); presenting complaints; success of surgical procedures to arrest re-leak and overall survival of the patients. Conservative measures, 3 tube method, jejunal patch, T tube duodenostomy and Rohondia’s cholecystoduodenoplasty (RCD) were the procedures routinely performed for such patients.

Statistical tests

Descriptive statistics was used to represent various variables-mean (SD) for continuous and proportions (percentages) for categorical variables. Chi-square test for association was used to analyze the difference in success and mortality between different surgical procedures.

RESULTS

Demographic details

A total of 41 patients with duodenal re-leak requiring intervention were identified with mean (range) of age in years of 45 (25-65). Of these 41 patients, 25 (61%) were males and 16 (39%) were females. Abdominal pain was the most common complaint observed in 34/41 (83%) patients (Figure 1).

Figure 1: Presenting complaints of the study participants (N=41).

Surgical interventions carried out for arresting duodenal re-leaks

The following types of procedures were carried out to arrest duodenal re-leaks: 3 tubes method (4/41, 9.8%), jejunal patch (7/41, 17.1%), T tube duodenostomy (1/41, 2.4%) and RCD (16/41, 39%) and conservative (13/41, 31.7%). Figure 2 depicts the proportions of the different surgical interventions carried out amongst study participants.

Figure 2: Surgical interventions carried out for arresting duodenal re-leaks.

Outcomes of the procedures

A total of 75% (12/16) success in stopping the leak was observed with RCD followed by 1/4 (25%) with 3 tubes duodenostomy, one each with jejunal patch (14.3%) and conservative techniques (7.7%) and, none with T tube duodenostomy (P-0.1; not significant). Figure 3 depicts the success achieved with various surgical interventions.

A total of 32/41 (78%) patients died following surgery for duodenal re-leak of which nearly four-fifths of them...
(26/32, 81%) died due to sepsicaemia, 5/33 (15.2%) had pulmonary complications and 1/33 (3%) due to perforation. As regards the type of procedures, all patients who were performed either a jejunal patch or conservative treatment died followed by 3/4 (75%) who underwent 3 tubes duodenostomy, 8/16 (50%) who were performed RCD and one with T tube duodenostomy died (P-0.1; not significant). Figure 4 depicts the mortality of various interventions carried out amongst study participants.

Re-bleeds following closure of ulcer perforation is a noted complication. The incidence of re-bleed ranges between 4 and 16% in various studies (Table 1). Rose et al recommended conservative measures which involve administration of total parenteral nutrition with drainage of leaking site.12

It was found that the conservative measures were associated with cent percent mortality and only 1/16 with complete resolution. Additionally, Hamby et al described a simple apposition procedure for arresting the re-bleed but the inflammation and induration of the ulcer surroundings precludes this intervention.13 Poor level of success was also found in the present study contrasting with the results of Maghsoudi et al where the authors obtained a success of arresting the re-bleed in 13/17 patients.11 Similarly, we found higher mortality in contrast to Wakayama et al wherein the authors found a mortality of only 5%.14 Both the failure and mortality rates can be attributed to more number of study participants who were managed conservatively in the study. But sub-group analysis showed a better outcome with RCD in comparison with other interventions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Id</th>
<th>Number (percentage) of re-bleeds amongst study participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chalya et al9</td>
<td>4/25 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gupta et al10</td>
<td>8/160 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maghsoudi H et al11</td>
<td>17/422 (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Size of the perforation has been associated with risk of re-bleeds. Gupta et al found that 3/122 (2.46%) perforations with the size less than 1 cm were re-bleaking while 5/38 (13.16%) with size ranging between 1 and 3 cm had re-bleak.10 Similarly, the authors also observed a three times higher risk of mortality with larger size of the perforation.

The present study is limited in not having assessed the size of the perforation and correspondingly the association with failure and risk of mortality. Similarly, we did not assess the incidence of duodenal re-bleak from the total number of procedures performed and shorter follow up of the study participants. To conclude, the present study has given a baseline data of patients who have undergone various surgical procedures for arresting duodenal perforation but had re-bleaks, from a tertiary care

**DISCUSSION**

An observational study was carried out on the patients with duodenal perforations who had re-bleaks from the sutured site. A total of 41 patients were identified and RCD was the most commonly done surgical procedure followed by conservative method, jeunal patch and 3 tubes method. Similarly success rate was also higher with RCD followed by 3 tubes duodenostomy, jeunal patch, conservative techniques and unfortunately none with T tube duodenostomy. All the patients who were performed either a jeunal patch or conservative treatment died followed by 3/4th who underwent 3 tubes duodenostomy, 8/16 (50%) who were performed RCD and one with T tube duodenostomy.
hospital in a developing country. We found better outcomes associated with RCD in comparison to other surgical and conservative measures.
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