

# Retrospective evaluation of clinical and demographic features of 135 patients with lichen planus

Isa An<sup>1</sup>, Mustafa Aksoy<sup>2</sup>, Murat Ozturk<sup>3</sup>, Hakim Celik<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Sanliurfa Education and Research Hospital, Clinic of Dermatology, Sanliurfa, Turkey

<sup>2</sup>Harran University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Dermatology, Sanliurfa, Turkey

<sup>3</sup>Van Training and Research Hospital, Clinic of Dermatology, Van, Turkey

<sup>4</sup>Harran University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Physiology, Sanliurfa, Turkey

Copyright © 2018 by authors and Annals of Medical Research Publishing Inc.

## Abstract

**Aim:** Lichen planus (LP) is a chronic, inflammatory, papulosquamous skin disease with different clinical features. The incidence varies according to the geographical regions, although it is seen all over the world and all races. In this study, it was aimed to determine the clinical and demographic characteristics of patients with LP in our clinic.

**Material and Methods:** We included 135 patients who applied to our clinic between January 2013 and December 2017 and who were diagnosed as LP by clinical and histopathological examination and who had HbsAg and Anti HCV tests. Patients' age, sex, clinical variants of disease, mucosal involvement, nail involvement, HbsAg and Anti HCV tests were retrospectively recorded.

**Results:** Of 135 patients included in the study, 68 (50.4%) were males and 67 (49.6%) females. The mean age of the patients ranged from 18 to 65 years, with a mean of  $37.8 \pm 12.7$  years. Oral mucosal involvement was present in 30 (22.2%) patients and nail involvement in 10 (7.4%) patients. Classical LP was present in 111 of the patients (82.2%). HbsAg positivity was found in 3 of the patients (2.2%), but no anti HCV positivity was detected in any of the patients.

**Conclusion:** The clinical and laboratory characteristics of the LP patients in our study were similar to the literature. More research which includes more patients is needed to better understand the clinical and epidemiological characteristics of LP.

**Keywords:** Lichen Planus; Oral Mucosa; Nail.

## INTRODUCTION

Lichen planus (LP) which has various clinical variants is a chronic, inflammatory, papulosquamous skin disease affecting the skin, mucous membranes, nail and hair. The incidence varies according to geographical regions although it is seen in all the world and all races (1). Although the etiopathogenesis of LP is not known precisely, it is thought to be a T-cell mediated autoimmune disease. However, the epidemiological, clinical and pathogenetic features of the disease have not yet been fully elucidated (1,2,3). In this study, it was aimed to determine the clinical and demographic characteristics of the patients followed up as LP diagnosis and to compare the obtained data with the results of the literature.

## MATERIAL and METHODS

Our study included 135 patients who admitted to the Harran University Medical School, Dermatology outpatient clinic

between January 2013 and December 2017 and who were clinically and histopathologically diagnosed as LP and had HbsAg and Anti HCV tests. Age, gender, clinical variants of the disease, mucosal involvement, nail involvement, HbsAg and Anti HCV tests were retrospectively recorded.

SPSS 21.0 for windows (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA) software is used for statistical analyses.

## RESULTS

Of the 135 patients included in the study, 68 (50.4%) were males and 67 (49.6%) were females. The mean age of the patients ranged from 18 to 65 years, with a mean of  $37.8 \pm 12.7$  years. Oral mucosal involvement was present in 30 (22.2%) patients and nail involvement in 10 (7.4%) patients. In study group; 111 (82.2%) patients had classical LP, 7 (5.2%) patients had eruptive LP, 6 (4.4%) patients had lichen planopilaris, 4 (3%) patients had hypertrophic LP, 3 (2.2%) patients had pigmented LP, 2 (1.5%) patients had

Received: 14.08.2018 Accepted: 30.08.2018 Available online: 05.09.2018

Corresponding Author: Isa An, Sanliurfa Education and Research Hospital Clinic of Dermatology, Sanliurfa, Turkey

E-mail: is\_an89@hotmail.com

atrophic LP, 1 (%0.7) patient had actinic LP and 1 patient had LP pemfigoides. (Table 1) HbsAg positivity was found in 3 patients (2.2%) but no HCV positivity was detected in any of the patients.

**Table 1. Clinical variants of lichen planus patients**

| Clinical variants   | Number of patients (%) |
|---------------------|------------------------|
| Classical LP        | 111 (%82.2)            |
| Eruptive LP         | 7(%5.2)                |
| Lichen planopilaris | 6(%4.4)                |
| Hypertrophic LP     | 4(%3)                  |
| Pigmented LP        | 3(%2.2)                |
| Atrophic LP         | 2(%1.5)                |
| Actinic LP          | 1(%0.7)                |
| LP pemfigoides      | 1(%0.7)                |
| LP: Lichen planus   |                        |

## DISCUSSION

LP is an itchy inflammatory disease with unknown etiology. It is stated that the estimated global prevalence of LP varies between 0.22% and 5%. While men and women are equally affected by the disease, in some studies it is reported that the disease is seen twice as often in women than in men (4,5).

In a study by Manolache et al., 76% of LP patients were of female patients (6). In the study conducted by Sen et al., 56% of the patients were female and 44% were males (7). In the study conducted by Yanik et al., the number of male and female patients was equal (8). In our study LP was seen in women and men at equal frequency.

Approximately two-thirds of LP patients develop between 30 and 60 years of age (1). In a study conducted in our country, the ages of the patients ranged from 1 to 84, with a mean score of 43.2 (9). In another study, the age of the patients ranged from 8 to 78, with an average of 44.7 (7). The age of the patients ranged from 9 to 80 years with an average of  $44.6 \pm 1.2$  (8). In our study, the ages of the patients ranged from 18 to 65 years with an average of 37.8 years.

The characteristic lesion in LP is itchy, small, slightly squamous, purple papules with smooth surface. The most common type is classical LP. The others are eruptive LP, lichen planopilaris LP, hypertrophic LP, pigmented LP, atrophic LP, actinic LP and LP pemfigoides (1,2,3,10).

In the study conducted by Turan et al., it was reported that classical LP was seen most frequently, followed by hypertrophic and linear LP (9). Similarly, the most common types in the Yanik et al's study were classical and hypertrophic types (8).

Anbar et al reported that actinic LP was the most common LP variant in the study with 50 patients (11). The study by Kyriakis et al. Reported that follicular type is the most common type seen after classical LP (10). In both studies it was stated that the differences in clinical types may be

due to climatic changes (10,11). The most common type in our study was classic LP consistent with the literature.

In Yanik et al. study, lichen planopilaris was observed in 10 (5.2%) patients (8). Turan et al. reported this ratio was found to be 2.2% (9). In our study, lichen planopilaris was seen in 6 (4.4%) patients.

It has been reported that oral involvement in cutaneous LP patients is 65% (12). Turan et al reported that the rate of oral involvement accompanying cutaneous lesions was 14.7% (9). In Yanik et al. study, oral involvement was observed in 35 (24.8%) patients (8). Oral involvement was observed in 22.2% of LP patients in our study.

Characteristic involvement in oral LP is white reticular lesions. It is reported that erythematous and erosive lesions are frequently accompanied by reticular lesions, while the reticular form alone can be seen (13,14). In a study conducted in China, reticular involvement was seen most frequently in 51% of cases (14). In a UK study, mixed type lesions with erythematous and erosive lesions together with reticular lesions were observed in 60% of the cases (13).

In the study performed by Akarsu et al., reticular type was the most common type (83.3%) of oral involvement (15). Yanik et al. also reported reticular type oral mucosal involvement was the most common (49.2%) (8). In our study consistent with the literature, the most common type of oral LP was reticular type.

Nail involvement in LP patients ranges from 1 to 10% (1,2,9). Yanik et al. found nail involvement in 3 (1.6%) patients (8). In our study similar to the literature, 10 (7.4%) patients had nail involvement.

In the etiology of LP, HBV, HCV, vaccines, contact allergens, stress and some autoimmune diseases are responsible (16,17,18,19). Ferahbas et al. and Karavelioglu et al. found no significant association between HCV infection and LP (20,21). Similarly, there was no significant association between HCV infection and oral LP in two studies conducted in China and India (22,23).

In a study with 260 LP patients, conducted by Denli et al. the relationship between HCV and LP was investigated and anti HCV positivity was detected in 7 (5%) patients. These values were statistically significant when compared to the control group (18). In study conducted by Kirtak et al., Anti HCV levels of 73 LP patients were evaluated. In this study, anti-HCV positivity was detected in 5 (6.84%) patients and the association was reported to be significant (24).

Some antigens are synthesized in the basal membrane zone of hepatocytes due to HCV infection, which is thought to stimulate cytotoxic T cell response to this region (18,24). No significant association between HCV infection and LP was found in our study.

Another viral agent investigated in the etiopathogenesis of LP is HBV. Although the pathogenesis is not completely known, it is thought that HbsAg cross-reacts with keratinocytes similar to HCV infection and stimulates the

autoimmune response. The fact that a large number of cases with LP developed after HBV vaccination has been reported in the literature suggests that HBV and LP are related (19).

In study by Denli et al., HBV positivity was observed in 24 patient (17.1%) and it was stated that when compared to the control group, the association was significant (18). A similar study by Rub-sam et al. reported a significant association between HBV and LP (25). There was no significant relationship between HbsAg positivity and LP in two studies conducted in Saudi Arabia and Pakistan (26,27). In our study, HbsAg positivity was found to be 2.2%.

## CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we found that LP is seen equally in women and men, the most common variant is classical LP, and that oral mucosa and nail involvement rates are similar as literature, and that HCV-HBV infections are not associated with LP. More research which includes more patients is needed to better understand the clinical and epidemiological characteristics of LP.

*Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interest.*

*Financial Disclosure: There are no financial supports*

*Ethical approval: This work has been approved by the Institutional Review Board.*

## REFERENCES

- Kusari A, Ahluwalia J. Lichen Planus. N Engl J Med 2018;379:567.
- Boyd AS, Neldner KH. Lichen planus. J Am Acad Dermatol 1991;25:593-619.
- Conte A, Inverardi D, Loconsole F, et al. A retrospective study of 200 cases of lichen. G Ital Dermatol Venereol 1990;125:85-9.
- Sanchez-Perez J, Rios Buceta L, Fraga J, et al. Lichen planus with lesions on the palms and/or soles: Prevalence and clinicopathological study of 36 patients. Br J Dermatol 2000;142:310-4.
- Payette MJ, Weston G, Humphrey S, et al. Lichen planus and other lichenoid dermatoses: Kids are not just little people. Clin Dermatol 2015;33:631-43.
- Manolache L, Seceleanu-Petrescu D, Benea V. Lichen planus patients and stressful events. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2008;22:437-41.
- Sen BB, Ekiz O, Rifaioglu EN, et al. Clinical and demographic characteristics of 165 patients with lichen planus. Dicle Med J. 2014;41:78-81
- Yanik ME, Aliagaoglu C, Turan H, et al. Retrospective evaluation of the patients with lichen planus followed in our clinic for the last ten years TAD 2012;10:6-11.
- Turan H, Baskan EB, Ercan G. Demographic characteristics in cases of lichen planus; examination of environmental factors related to disease. 22nd National Dermatology Congress, 14-18 October 2008. Konya, Turkey, 335 p.335
- Kyriakis KP, Terzoudi S, Palamaras I, et al. Sex and age distribution of patients with lichen planus. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2006;20:625-6.
- Anbar TE, Barakat M, Ghannam SF. A clinical and epidemiological study of lichen planus among Egyptians of al-Minya province. Dermatol Online J 2005;11:4
- Strauss RA, Fattore L, Soltani K. The association of mucocutaneous lichen planus and chronic liver disease. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1989;68:406-10.
- Ingafou M, Leao JC, Porter SR, et al. Oral lichen planus: A retrospective study of 690 British patients. Oral Dis 2006;12:463-8.
- Xue JL, Fan MW, Wang SZ, et al. A clinical study of 674 patients with oral lichen planus in China. J Oral Pathol Med 2005;34:467-72.
- Akarsu S, Poyraz K, Yasar E, et al. Mucosal involvement frequency in lichen planus cases. DEU Med J 2007;21:155-7.
- An I, Demir V, Ibiloglu I, et al. Lichen planus secondary to rabies vaccination. Dermatol Online J 2017;23:13030
- An I, Demir V, Akdeniz S. Lichenoid drug eruption induced by colchicine: case report. Cutan Ocul Toxicol 2017;36:199-200.
- Denli YG, Durdu M, Karakas M. Diabetes and hepatitis frequency in 140 lichen planus cases in Cukurova region, J Dermatol 2004;31:293-8.
- Calista D, Morri M. Lichen planus induced by hepatitis B vaccination: a new case and review of the literature. Int J Dermatol 2004;43:562-4.
- Ferahbaş A, Bahadır S, Can G, et al. Relationship between Lichen Planus and Hepatitis B and Hepatitis C Virus Infection. Turkiye Klinikleri J Dermatol 1997;7:85-8
- Karavelioğlu D, Koytak ES, Bozkaya H, et al. Lichen planus and HCV infection in Turkish patients. Turk J Gastroenterol 2004;15:133-6.
- Zhou Y, Jiang L, Liu J, et al. The prevalence of hepatitis C virus infection in oral lichen planus in an ethnic Chinese cohort of 232 patients. Int J Oral Sci 2010;2:90-7.
- Patil S, Khandelwal S, Rahman F, et al. Epidemiological relationship of oral lichen planus to hepatitis C virus in an Indian population. OHDM 2012;11:199-205.
- Kirtak N, Inalöz HS, O Ozgöztasi, et al. The prevalence of hepatitis C virus infection in patients with lichen planus in Gaziantep region of Turkey. Eur J Epidemiol 2000;16:1159-61.
- Rübsam K, Schroll A, Weisenseel P, et al. Lichen planus and hepatitis virus infections: causal association? J Dtsch Dermatol Ges 2011;9:464-8.
- El-Rifaei AM, Fathalla SE, Al-Sheikh IH, et al. The prevalence of indices of hepatitis C and B infection, and elevated aminotransferase enzymes in patients with oral lichen planus (olp) in eastern Saudi Arabia. J Family Community Med 1998;5:39-43.
- Mahboob A, Haroon TS, Iqbal Z, et al. Prevalence of hepatitis B surface antigen carrier state in patients with lichen planus-report of 200 cases from Lahore, Pakistan. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad 2007;19:68-70.