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ABSTRACT

Background: UV phototherapy has recently demonstrated high levels of efficacy and tolerability for treating a variety of inflammatory skin diseases.

Objective: The purpose of the present study was to evaluate the efficacy of narrow band versus broad band in the treatment of pityriasis rosea.

Methods: Twenty patients (12 female & 8 male) with extensive pityriasis rosea type III & IV were participated in the study. Their ages ranged between 18 and 35 years. The right half of the body of each patient was irradiated with NB-UVB, while left side of each patient was exposed to broadband BB-UVB for 3 times alternatively per week until clearing of lesions or for 6 weeks. The rate of clearing was monitored by estimating the pityriasis rosea severity (PRSS) score and the pruritus score.

Results: The extent of disease (PRSS) for Rt side decreased from (34.30±10.16 vs. 10.50±7.00, respectively <0.05) while for Lt side (35.90±10.31 vs. 16.00±9.06). The percentage of reduction in intensity of puritis were 53%, 49%, for NB-UVB side and BB-UVB side respectively.

Conclusion: This study shows that NB-UVB was more effective than BB-UVB in reduction of PRSS and the degree of pruritus in pityriasis rosea.
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INTRODUCTION

Pityriasis rosea (PR) is a skin disorder that describes a sudden appearance of discrete plaques (patches) of skin rash in a distinctive pattern over the body and limbs. ‘Pityriasis’ (meaning bran-like), indicates that there are scales in the skin lesions. ‘Rosea’ means rose-like and describes the typical colour of the rash, although the colour varies to a wide extent in different races. [1]

Pityriasis rosea (also known as pityriasis rosea Gibert) is a skin rash. It is benign but may inflict substantial discomfort in certain cases. Classically, it begins with a single “herald patch” lesion, followed in 1 or 2 weeks by a generalized body rash lasting up to 12 weeks [2-5]

Pityriasis rosea (PR) is an acute, self-limited papulosquamous disorder that begins with the appearance of an initial plaque most often on the trunk, and this is followed in about a week or two by the development of an analogous spotty rash and it usually persists for 4~7 weeks. The exact etiology of the disease is still unknown, although active infection with both human herpes viruses 6 and 7 is thought to play a role in PR.[6]

Pityriasis rosea is a harmless skin disease that causes scaly patches that sometimes itch over the torso, neck, arms and legs. Anyone can get it, but it is most common in people ages 10 to 35. About 50% of all people with PR have itching of moderate to severe intensity. The quality of life of people with PR is significantly affected. Parents of children with PR also have significant anxieties about the cause, nature, and possible infectivity of the eruption.[7, 8]

No specific therapy is available and in many cases none is needed; however, some patients have an extensive eruption and considerable pruritus. For patients with
severe pruritus, experts have recommended treatment with zinc oxide, calamine lotion, topical steroids, oral antihistamines and even oral steroids. Ultraviolet radiation, through artificial sources or intentional exposure to natural sunlight, has been recommended to decrease the duration of the rash and the intensity of itching in patients with pityriasis rosea [9,10].

The American Academy of Dermatology defines phototherapy as the exposure to nonionizing radiation for therapeutic benefit. It may involve exposure to ultraviolet (UVA), UVB, or various combinations. Phototherapy can be administered in inpatient hospital settings, hospital clinics, daycare centers, and doctor's offices, as well as for home therapy. Many diseases have been reported to respond to this treatment, including psoriasis, hand dermatitis, mycosis fungoides, pruritus, pityriasis rosea, lichen planus, pityriasis lichenoides, and many more. [11-14]

Phototherapy with ultraviolet (UV) radiation of wavelengths between 280 and 320 nm (UVB) is a safe and effective treatment for a variety of diseases. There are two types of UVB treatment, broadband (bUVB) and narrowband (nUVB) (TL /01). Phototherapy with bUVB or nUVB has been reported to be effective and safe for the treatment of a large number of skin diseases. Narrowband UVB is similar to broad-band UVB in many ways. The major difference between them is that narrowband UVB is light energy which is emitted in a narrow portion of the UVB range which is concentrated in the therapeutic range, with an optimum peak at 311 nm.[15-19] The aim of this study was to evaluate the efficacy of narrow band versus broad band in the treatment of pityriasis rosea.

Patients & Methods
Twenty patients (12 female & 8 male) with extensive pityriasis rosea were participated in the study. Their ages ranged between 18 and 35 years and had skin type III & IV. Diagnosis of pityriasis rosea was made by two dermatologists for all patients, based on characteristic clinical features. Signed informed consent was obtained from each patient before enrollment in the study. Reasons of exclusion are pregnant women, patients had history of photosensitivity, skin malignancy, abnormal reactions to sunlight or immunosuppression, patients were taking potentially phototoxic or immunosuppressive medication. Also if either of the dermatologists did not agree with the diagnosis of pityriasis rosea, the patient was not eligible for enrollment. and those with the absence of pruritus at the time of diagnosis were excluded from the study.

Standardized case record forms were used for the purpose of collecting basic characteristics of the patients, which included age, sex, duration of rash, the season during presentation, history of preceding upper respiratory infection, exposure to a patient of pityriasis rosea, and herald patch. Complete blood counts and antistreptolysin-O titers were carried out in all patients and venereal disease research laboratory (VDRL) test was performed to exclude secondary syphilis. The experimental protocol was explained in details for each patient before the initial assessment.

Measurement Methods
The severity of the disease was determined according to the Pityriasis Rosea Severity Score (PRSS). Intensity of pruritus was determined by visual analogue scale (VAS).

Measurement the severity of pityriasis rosea [20]
Two areas were assessed for determining the PRSS (1) the head and trunk (t) and (2) the upper and lower extremities (e). The extent of the disease was first assessed with a 0 to 3 scale (0=absence of lesions, 1=1 to 9 lesions, 2=10 to 19 lesions, 3=≥20 lesions). To evaluate the severity of the lesions, three target symptoms termed erythema (E), infiltration (I) and scale (S) were assessed according to a scale of 0 to 3, in which 0 means a complete lack of cutaneous involvement and 3 represents the most severe possible involvement. To calculate the PRSS, the sum of the severity rating for these three main changes was multiplied with the numeric value (N) of the extent of the disease. The formula can be written as: PRSS=Nt (Et+It+St)+Ne (Ee+Ie+Se). The subscript “t” indicates one side of the trunk and the head, and the subscript “e” indicates one side of the extremities. Improvement in PRSS was graded as the percentage reduction as follows: minimal, ≤25%; good, 26-50%; very good, 51-75% and >75%, was excellent. A patient’s condition was defined as clearing if he or she had a PRSS score of 2 or less.

Assessment the pruritus
A 100-mm visual analog scale was used to assess the severity of pruritus pre and post treatment. This scale has been extensively used and demonstrated to be a valid instrument for the measurement of intensity of pruritus. A horizontal line made on a sheet of paper with the left end marked as no symptoms and the right end marked as worst imaginable symptoms. The patient was asked to draw a vertical line to indicate the intensity of the symptom. The length from the left end to the vertical mark made by the patient was measured in millimeters.[21]

Treatment Procedures
Determination of the initial dose: Before initiating phototherapy, the initial irradiation dose for the individual patient must be determined. The dosage of UV light is prescribed according to an individual’s skin sensitivity. Thus, to establish the proper dosage of UV light to administer to a patient, the patient is screened to determine a minimal erythema dose (MED).
The patient’s MED is determined by exposing six small template areas (e.g., circles of 1 cm diameter) of non-exposed skin (lower back, buttocks) to an incremental series of UVB irradiations. Increases are made by fixed values (e.g., 10 mJ/cm²). The MED is defined as the lowest dose that causes a minimally perceptible erythema reaction 24 hours after irradiation. Sunbathing or exposure to solaria must be avoided before phototesting. The type of lamp used for MED determination should be documented, since values obtained with broadband or narrowband sources are markedly different.

**Treatment protocol**

The right half of the body of each patient was irradiated with NB-UVB (311-313nm) with the average peak at 313 nm. by Philips UVB Narrowband TL100W/01 with output 17.7 w, Lamp voltage is 126, Lamp current 0.97 and with cap base R17d. The left side of each patient is exposed to broadband ultraviolet B 290-325nm (BB-UVB) by Philips UVB Broadband TL100W/12 with output 12.7 w, Lamp voltage is 126, Lamp current 0.97.

The initial treatment dose was 70% of the MED and the dose was increased by 10% if no erythema or discomfort developed from the prior irradiation, 5% with minor erythema not lasting longer than 24 h, and no increments if the erythema lasted more than 24 h. Therapy was given 6 times weekly (3 times for Rt side & 3 times for Lt side alternatively) until clearing of lesions or for 6 weeks.

At the day of session ask patient to wear protective goggles, not put perfumes, deodorants, aftershave lotions or other cosmetic products. Some of these contain additives which make the skin more sensitive to light as this may cause burn. The patients were asked not to expose themselves to ambient sunlight during the study. No other treatment had been given for at least 3 months prior to the start of study.

**Statistical Analysis**

Frequencies are used to describe the variables (Sex, skin type, occurrence of herald patch). Student t test was used to assess the difference between the studied parameters (PRSS, IP) between two sides of body while paired t test was used to analyze these parameters within each side pre and post treatment. Data were coded and entered to a statistical package of social science (SPSS, version 16). All P values less than 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

Figure 1, presents the flow chart for patients throughout the study. A total of 28 patients was screened for eligibility, and 20 subjects fulfilled the inclusion criteria and were completed the study and continued to the final analysis. Table 1 presents the demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients completing the study.

**Table 1: Demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years) (mean±SD)</td>
<td>26.95±5.286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of disease (days) (mean±SD)</td>
<td>7.8±3.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin Type (III / IV)</td>
<td>Type III: 12(60%) Type IV: 8(40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (Female –Male)</td>
<td>Female: 12(60%) Male: 8(40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occurrence of herald patch</td>
<td>present: 13(65%) Not present: 7(35%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occurrence of upper respiratory infection</td>
<td>present: 6(30%) Not present: 14(70%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Pitryiasis Rosea Severity Score (PRSS) (mean±SD)</td>
<td>34.30±10.16 35.90±10.31 0.624*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial pruritus intensity (mean±SD)</td>
<td>8.9 ±1.02 8.5 ±1.16 0.068*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Non-significant difference

**Pityriasis Rosea Severity Score Measurements (PRSS)**

The severity of pityriasis rosea disease was summarized in Table 2, as determined by Pityriasis Rosea Severity Score (PRSS). The reductions in severity of disease were observed in NBUVB (Rt side) and BBUVB (Lt side) from initial (W0), to subsequent measurement at 6th week (W6). Significant differences were found between two
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Table 2: Pityriasis Rosea Severity Score Measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NBUBV (Rt side)</th>
<th>BBUVB (Lt side)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial evaluation (W0)</td>
<td>34.30±10.16</td>
<td>35.90±10.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-treatment evaluation (W6)</td>
<td>10.50±7.00</td>
<td>16.00±9.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value pre and post within each side</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Reduction</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value between both sides post-treatment</td>
<td>0.038**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Significant difference

Intense of pruritus Measurements (IP):
Intensity of pruritus was summarized in Table 3, as determined by Visual analogue Scale. The reductions in pruritus intensity were observed in NBUBV (Rt side) and BBUVB (Lt side) from initial (W0), to subsequent measurement at 6th week (W6). No significant differences were found between two sides post-treatment as P>0.05).

Table 3: Intensity of pruritus Measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NBUBV (Rt side)</th>
<th>BBUVB (Lt side)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial evaluation (W0)</td>
<td>8.9 ±1.02</td>
<td>8.5 ±1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-treatment evaluation (W6)</td>
<td>4.15±1.35</td>
<td>4.20±1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value pre and post within each side</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Reduction</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value between both sides post-treatment</td>
<td>0.92*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* non-Significant difference
** Significant difference

DISCUSSION

Today, phototherapy is a valuable option in the treatment of many non-psoriatic conditions including AD, sclerosing skin conditions such as morphea, vitiligo, and mycosis fungoides. Due to its relative safety, phototherapy may be used in most populations, including children and pregnant women. The UV range (10 to 400 nm) is further sub-divided into UVA and UVB, each of which has been particularly useful in a number of skin conditions. The most commonly used forms of UV irradiation are UVA1, PUVA, and NB-UVB, BBUVB. Each of these modalities differ in their mechanism of action, indications, and side effect profiles, and it is important that clinicians be familiar with these differences.[22] There have been a few reports of successfully treating pityriasis rosea using UV phototherapy, but there are currently no reports on comparing Narrow and broad band UVB in treating pityriasis rosea. [20] The purpose of this study to compare the efficacy of narrow band UVB versus broad band UVB in treating pityriasis rosea.

Twenty patients with extensive pityriasis rosea were participated in the study. The right half of the body of each patient was irradiated with NB-UVB (311-313nm) with the average peak at 313 nm. The left side of each patient is exposed to broadband ultraviolet B 290-325nm. Assessment was done through pityriasis rosea severity score (PRSS) and visual analogue scale to assess severity of disease and pruritus.

In our present study, out of 20 patients, 8 cases (40%) were males and 12 cases (60%) were female giving rise to male to female ratio of 1:1.5. This result was in accordance with a large study of Chuang et al, [23] who reported that the sex ratio was 1.5 females to 1 male patient. Crissey found twice as many females than males [24] while Cohen reported that both sexes were affected equally.[25] In most of the series reported, females preponderate over male but not so greatly.[26] On the other hand, Bjornberg and Hellgren reported a slight male preponderance.[27] Sharma et al as well as Vijyeeta reported a slight male preponderance.[28,29] In our study there was a slight female preponderance.

As regard to the duration of illness before entering the study varied from few days to few weeks. In our study most of the cases reported within 1st week illness (55%) and (45%) of the cases reported within 2nd week of illness. Early reporting to hospital was due to the presence of pruritus and anxiety caused by generalized appearance of the lesions over the body surface. In most of cases presented to us it was the first episode of pityriasis rosea before the treatment could be initiated. Only 3 had episode of recurrence.

History of upper respiratory tract was present in only 2 cases of pityriasis rosea (10%). Chuang et al reported that history of cutaneous and non-cutaneous infections prior to onset of pityriasis rosea was present in 16% of their cases and he found no association of pityriasis rosea with atopy and seborrheic dermatitis while Vijyeeta [29] reported 70% of cases had upper respiratory tract. Presence of herald patch was reported in about 85% cases and most commonly seen on the trunk (40%). This was in accordance with Vijyeeta [29] who reported that
about 82% of cases demonstrated herald patch. Dam-
balkar K et al and various other authors in different stud-
ies have reported the incidence of herald patch to be 40-
76%. [23,30,31]

Although the etiology of pityriasis rosea is unclear, sev-
eral indicate an infectious cause. First, outbreak of the
condition occur in clusters, suggesting an infectious
agent is circulating within a community. Second, recur-
rence of pityriasis rosea outside the acute phase is rare,
suggesting that there is long-lasting immunity after the
infection. Third, up to 69 percent of patients with pity-
riasis rosea have a prodromal illness before the herald
patch appear. Finally, some patients with pityriasis rosea
show an increase in B lymphocytes, a decrease in T lym-
phocytes, and an elevated sedimentation rate. [32,33]

The histologic features of pityriasis rosea are non-specif-
ic. In epidermis, mild hyperkeratosis with focal paraker-
atosis, minimal acanthosis with variable spongiosis, and
a moderate exocytosis of lymphocytes with a thinned
granular layer is present.

In the dermis, extravasated red blood cells are accom-
pained by a perivascular infiltrate of lymphocytes and
eosinophils with occasional monocytes. Similar findings
are demonstrating in the herald patch with a deeper in-
filtrate and more pronounced acanthosis. Dyskeratotic
cells are present in 50% of cases.[34-36]

The results of the study showed that there were signif-
icant reduction in PRSS & VAS post treatment in both
sides from W0 to subsequent W6 for both Rt (NBUVB)
and Lt (BBUVB) side and this suggest efficacy of ultra-
violet B in treating pityriasis rosea controlling pruritus
whatever the type of band.

Valkova in his bilateral comparison study between UV
and UVB phototherapy in treatment of pityriasis rosea
confirmed that the UVA irradiation in the dose men-
tioned earlier had no effect on the course of the disease
but significant clinical improvement according to PRSS
with total clearing of the rash was observed after UVB
phototherapy[37], which is correlating with our study.

Our study correlated with Leenutaphonga et al who in
his study used a bilateral comparison experimental dem-
onstrated that 10 daily erythemogenic exposures of UVB
resulted in substantially decreased severity of disease in
comparison with the control side in 15 of 17 patients.
The overall reduction of PRSS showed a significant dif-
f erences, the UVB irradiation was superior to UVA ir-
radiation.[20]

The results of this study was in accordance with a previ-
ous bilateral comparison study by Amdt et al in which
five consecutive erythemogenic UVB phototherapy ex-
posures were administered to one half of the bodies of
20 patients. It was shown that the extent of disease and
pruritus on the treated side decreased more than on the
untreated side [38].

As regard to the type of UVB band, the results of our
study showed that NBUVB was more effective than
BBUVB in reduction of PRSS with percentage of im-
provement was 69%, 55% respectively while there was
no significant differences between NBUVB & BBUVB in
reduction the degree of pruritus with percentage of im-
provement 53%& 49% respectively.

Gambichler et al, [39] stated that Because NB-UVB may
have a wider indication spectrum, including AD, vitiligo,
and early-stage CTCL, and appears to be equally effective
or even more effective than broad-band UVB (BB-UVB),
a switch from BB-UVB to NB-UVB seems to be justified.

On the other hand Pugashetti and colleagues[40] noted
that BB-UVB phototherapy has demonstrated effective-
ness in the treatment of cutaneous disorders including
psoriasis, AD, uremic pruritus and idiopathic pruri-
tus. Also they high-lighted in their report, that there was
a small but significant proportion of psoriasis and AD
patients who do not tolerate NB-UVB but demonstrated
an excellent clinical response to BB-UVB. They reported
that it is critical for dermatologists to recognize the role
of BB-UVB as a complement to NB-UVB phototherapy
for patients who cannot tolerate or experience an inad-
equate therapeutic response from NB-UVB.

Our study was in accordance with Weiming Hui and
other [41] who reported in their study good patient
compliance and fewer adverse reactions, safe, reliable,
and worthy of clinical application after 6 or 7 times of
NBUVB irradiation treatment of pityriasis rosea. Also
Samson et al reported that Narrow-band UVB photother-
apy was well-tolerated, with no serious adverse effects
and concluded that NB-UVB may be considered as a vi-
able therapeutic option in the treatment of vitiligo, pruri-
tus, and other inflammatory dermatoses. Several studies
reported the immunomodulatory effects of nUVB appear
to be more pronounced than bUVB [42]. Multiple studies
have shown the effectiveness of narrowband UVB treat-
ment to be superior to that of conventional broadband
UVB treatment.[43,44]

As regard to incidence of side effects, no significant side-
effect were noted during the treatment course by both
types of UVB band except for slight burning sensation,
darkening of the skin and dryness of the skin.

**CONCLUSION**

On conclusion our study showed that NBUVB was more
effective than BBUVB in reduction of PRSS and the de-
gree of pruritus in pityriasis rosea.
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