Physiological Cost Index and Comfort Walking Speed in Two Level Lower Limb Amputeees Having No Vascular Disease
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1. INTRODUCTION

Assessment of the energy expenditure of walking is frequently performed to evaluate the effectiveness of walking systems (1). The standard method for estimating energy cost is the direct measurement of oxygen consumption (VO2) but it is generally unavailable in the clinical setting. The Physiological Cost Index (PCI) first was introduced by MacGregor1 as method based on linear correlation between VO2 and heart rate (HR) (2). It requires simply recording of HR at rest and while walking, measured by not expensive equipment. Measuring the PCI has been the subject of many publications for patients with different locomotion disorders (3-6) and also in lower limb amputees walking with prosthesis (7-10).

We undertook this study aiming to present the efficacy of rehabilitation program for subjects with lower limb amputation, for over ten years after the implementation of this program in Kosovo (11, 12). Our aim was to assess energy cost and walking speed in two level amputation: transfemoral and transtibial amputation as most important determinants of efficacy of prosthetic walking respectively of prosthetic rehabilitation program. Also we aimed to analyze wherever the age and prosthetic walking supported with walking aids had impact on energy cost and walking speed.

2. METHODS

This was a prospective cross sectional study. The research covered the period from the first of January to the first of July, 2012.

2.1. Subject population

A convenience sample of individuals with amputations was recruited among patients at the Department of Prosthetics and Orthotics at the University Clinical Center of Kosova. Inclusion criteria were to have: 1) above 18 years of age; 2) unilateral lower limb amputation, for reasons other than vascular disease; 3) at least one year experience of using prosthesis; 4) no cognitive disorders or other significant medical conditions. All gave informed consent.

All trans-femoral amputees used modular (endoskeletal) prosthesis with quadrilateral socket, 4-bar linkage knee with mechanical swing phase control; or polycentric knee joint and SACH-foot. Transtibial amputees used a modular patellar tendon bearing socket and SACH-foot. The subjects wore their prostheses almost all day for ordinary activities.

2.2. Measurements

PCI is calculated as the quotient of difference in working...
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2.3. Testing procedure

PCI was assessed by five minutes of continuous indoor walking at CWS. The participant had an HR monitor (OXY-100 Handheld Pulse Oximeter, 20060 Gessate (MI), Italy) attached around the chest and the receiver was attached in second finger of their hand. Before registering HR at rest, the participant was seated in silence for about five minutes and then it was recorded each minute for the following five minutes. Prior to the walking part of the test, a short distance was walked in order to warm up. The walking was conducted indoors, in a hallway with a regular floor surface. We chose a 76-m-long quadratic-shaped track with gently rounded corners (36 x 2 x 36 x 2), marked every one meter. The patients were asked to walk at their self selected comfortable speed, with the aid they normally use for support if walking continuously for a few hundred meters. Walking was carried out for five minutes with the tester walking behind to read the HR at work every 30 seconds. A digital stopwatch was used to time subjects as they walked over a track while the investigator recorded HR and walked distance. All the participants were instructed to avoid the intake of tobacco, coffee/tea or a large meal at least two hours prior to the test.

2.4. Statistical methods

Statistical analysis was performed using statistical package SPSS 21.0. Descriptive statistics were calculated. To determine whether there were differences between the transfemoral and transtibial amputee groups in terms of distribution by gender and walking with crutches the Chi-square test or Fisher Exact test were used. T-test or Mann-Whitney Rank Sum Test was used for comparison of differences on PCI and CWS between two amputation groups. The one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for comparison of differences among the study groups. The correlation between the age and PCI or CWS by level amputation was tested with simple linear regression analysis.

3. RESULTS

Eighty three lower limb amputees were recruited. There were twenty-two transfemoral and sixty-one transtibial amputees. The descriptive data are given in (Table 1). There were no important differences between groups according to distribution of gender or age while there were significant difference in period of prosthetic use and walking with aids.

Most frequent cause of amputation was firearm injuries (63 cases).

The mean value of PCI in transfemoral amputees was 0.57 (SD=0.085) and in transtibial amputees it was 0.43 (SD=0.087) and the mean value of CWS 60.14 (SD=6.8) for transfemoral and 75.6 (SD=12.92) for transtibial amputees. It is shown relevant impact of level of amputation in physiological determinates. There was significant difference between the PCI in TF (0.57) vs. TT amputees (0.43) (t=6.8, (P<0.001) and between the CWS in TF amputees (median =60.5, lowest = 47.0, highest = 72.0, n=22) and TT amputees (median = 78.0, lowest = 53.0, highest = 94.0, n=61); Mann-Whitney Rank Sum Test.
The average values of PCI (ANOVA F= 39.5, P < 0.001) and CWS (ANOVA F=32.01, P < 0.001) significantly differed among the four analyzed groups, categorized by level of amputation and use of crutches (Table 2).

In transfemoral group of amputation with increasing age energy expenditure significantly increased (r = 0.662, P = 0.001) while walking speed significantly decreased (r = -0.56, P = 0.007) (Graph 1 and 2).

The correlation coefficient (R), in transfemoral amputees also showed that age was in significant correlation with PCI of (r = 0.597, P < 0.001) and CWS of (r = -0.673, P < 0.001) (Graph 3 and 4).

Advanced age and using crutches during prothetic walking were significant factors that affect the energy expenditure in transfemoral amputees. With every increase of age by one year increased the average PCI of 0.005 , while using crutches increased the mean value of PCI for (- 0.144). The values of the correlation coefficients R, showed the greater impact on energy expenditure had carrying crutches (R = 0.622) (Table 3).

By simple linear regression analysis it was shown a great impact of age and crutches in walking speed in transfemoral group of amputees. With every increase of age by one year mean value of CWS decreased of (- 0.306), while using crutches decreased the mean value of the CWS of 11.0. The greater impact on the value of a CWS had age (R=−0.56) (Table 3).

In transtibial group of amputees these two variables also has been shown as significant factors that affect the walking speed. With every increase in age by one year, mean value of CWS decrease of (- 0.666), while using crutches reduces the average value of the CWS in 20.82. The values of correlation coefficient R showed that there was approximately same impact of these two factors in walking speed, in transtibial amputees (Table 3).

4. DISCUSSION

Prosthetic ambulation is a primary concern in the rehabilitation process of lower limb amputees, and it is primarily addressed in energy expenditure and walking speed. This importance is in correlation with other measures of prosthetic ambulation (16-19).

Measuring energy cost of walking in lower limb amputees is an established method mostly done by assessment of oxygen consumption (VO2), but more suitable for clinical settings is measuring of PCI. The only equipment that is needed is a standard heart rate monitor and a stopwatch.

The present study investigated the PCI and CWS both in transfemoral and transtibial amputees as well as the influence of walking aids and age on them. Reports in the literature showed that energy cost of walking was greater in the amputated individuals compared to healthy controls (10, 16, 19) and increased with a higher level of amputation (16, 20).

In our study, the mean PCI value for transfemoral group was (0.57, SD= 0.085) and for transtibial group of amputees (0.43, SD=0.087 ) which were in accordance with mean value of PCI for transfemoral amputees in studies (7, 21) respectively with transtibial amputees in study of Canadian authors (22).

Energy consumption is usually measured by either a floor test or a treadmill test but we preferred the floor test because of possibility of using walking aids during the test and the patient’s ability to select the most comfortable walking speed. CWS is considered to be a reliable measure highly correlated to other aspects of walking (23, 24).

In our study, the mean CWS value for transfemoral amputees (60.14 m/min, SD=6.8) was close to the speed reported in other studies (16, 17, 21, 23). The mean value of CWS for transtibial amputees (76.5 m/min, SD= 12.8) was similar to other studies (19, 20) particularly with CWS (71 m/min.), reported in Pagliarulo at al. (26) and Waters at al. (16) with traumatic transtibial amputees.

In most of the studies, the included cases were selected as those with no pathological stump condition, a good socket fit and those with the ability to perform the test without the support of a walking with aids. However, we preferred to include cases which in daily life used crutches during prosthetic

### Table 2. Differences of Physiological Cost Index (PCI) and Comfort Walking Speed (CWS) in transfemoral and transtibial amputees in relation to using aids. * One Way ANOVA: F=39.5, P<0.001. † One Way ANOVA: F=32.01, P<0.001 U = 234, (P=0.0001) (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Diff of Means</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TF with aids vs. TT without aids</td>
<td>0.217</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TF with aids vs. TF without aids</td>
<td>0.0936</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TF with aids vs. TT with aids</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT with aids vs. TT without aids</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT with aids vs. TF without aids</td>
<td>0.0206</td>
<td>0.905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TF without aids vs. TT without aids</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Simple Linear Regression–Impact of age and using crutches in PCI and CWS by level of amputation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>PCI*, mean±SD (min-max)</th>
<th>CWS*, mean±SD (min-max)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transfemoral walking with aids (n=11)</td>
<td>0.62±0.05 (0.57-0.75)</td>
<td>54.6±3.67 (47-61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfemoral walking without aids (n=11)</td>
<td>0.53±0.09 (0.37-0.66)</td>
<td>65.6±4.18 (60-72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transtibial walking with aids (n=10)</td>
<td>0.58±0.09 (0.35-0.72)</td>
<td>58.2±2.62 (53-62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transtibial walking without aids (n=51)</td>
<td>0.40±0.06 (0.29-0.58)</td>
<td>79.02±11.26 (55-94)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. Simple Linear Regression–Impact of age and using crutches in PCI and CWS by level of amputation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient Multiple</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCI Transfemoral amputees(TF) (n=22)</td>
<td>0.389 0.005 0.662 0.001 0.004 0.597 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI Transtibial amputees(TT) (n=61)</td>
<td>0.714 -0.094 -0.569 0.007 -0.144 -0.622 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWS Transfemoral amputees(TF) (n=22)</td>
<td>72.553 -0.306 -0.560 0.007 102.054 -0.666 -0.673 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWS Transtibial amputees(TT) (n=61)</td>
<td>43.656 11.000 0.086 &lt;0.001 20.820 0.601 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ambulation, so we tested them while they walked with assisting devices.

Energy cost significantly increased and walking speed significantly decreased both in transfemoral and transtibial amputees in cases with prosthetic walking performed supported by aids.

It was difficult to compare our findings with other authors since no study analyzed the same occasion—walking with prosthesis and with crutches. In study, Walters et al., which analyzed difference between prosthetic walking and walking with crutches without prosthesis found that the rate of oxygen consumption, heart rate, and respiratory quotient were significantly increased in all groups of amputees when walking with crutches but without a prosthesis (26). Also, the authors (27), which analyzed unilateral transtibial traumatic amputees, found that crutch walking requires more exertion than walking with prosthesis without crutches. In other side, there is the study of Trabaselli et al. which assessed energy consumption and self selected walking speed in amputees with vascular disease who were using walking aids during walking on the floor or a treadmill. They didn’t analyzed the influence of walking aids on energy cost of walking, their aim was to measure the impact of walking surface—overground or treadmill walking. So, we couldn’t compare their findings.
with ours.

Although our findings provide evidence that prosthetic walking supported with aids was accompanied with more energy consumption and lower walking speed we concur with the suggestion of authors (27), that patients with lower limb amputations should rather prescribe prosthetic walk supported with crutches than crutch walk.

Age was found as an important factor influencing the energy expenditure and walking speed in able bodied (16, 28-30) and in lower limb amputees (16, 19). However, we thought it would be very interesting to shed more light on possible differences in prosthetic walking according to age. So, we analyzed its impact in our amputees and we found a great impact in both group of amputees on both physiological determinants.

In other side, authors (31) in their study found that gender and height were correlated significantly with gait speed but weight and age were not. Their explanation was because of restricted age range (50 – 79) of subjects.

We have to mention some limitations of this study that should be addressed in future studies.

The first one is having no control group. The effect of prosthetic components on energy consumption and measuring kinetic and kinematic properties of walking was beyond the scope of this study, although it would be desirable. Also, there were small number of cases with crutches especially when grouped by number of used crutches.

5. CONCLUSION

This study showed that walking with transfemoral prosthesis is matched with higher costs of energy and slower walking speed compared to transtibial prosthesis. Walking aids had a great impact in increasing of energy expenditure and reducing the walking speed while amputees were performing prosthetic ambulation. Also, the age was shown as an important factor influencing the PCI and CWS in both group of amputees.
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