Analysis of risk factors in surgical site infection following caesarean section
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ABSTRACT

Background: Objective of the study was to find SSI rate following Caesarean section and Analysis of risk factors.
Methods: This prospective randomized study carried out on 1504 patients, their demographic information, risk factors and surgical indications were recorded. Postoperatively patients were monitored for signs of SSI.
Results: Out of 1504 patients, 13% developed SSI. Hospital stay, wound class, ASA class, antibiotic prophylaxis and Type of caesarean showed significant association with SSI.
Conclusions: Reason for incidence of SSI higher than developed countries being only tertiary care hospital dealing with high risk pregnancies, late referrals from peripheries, Prolonged hospital stay, heavy rush of attendants, faulty supervision where dose of antibiotics is actually missed, no proper segregation of cases.
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INTRODUCTION

Infection is the clinical manifestation of the inflammatory reaction incited by invasion and proliferation of microorganisms.1 SSI is the second most common infectious complication after urinary tract infection following caesarean delivery.2 The rates of SSI after caesarean section reported in the literature range from 3% to 15% depending on the surveillance method used to identify infections, the patient population and the use of antibiotic prophylaxis.3,9 Maternal morbidity related to infections has been shown to be eight fold higher after caesarean section than after vaginal delivery.10 Among hospitals reporting to the National Nosocomial Infections (NNIS) system, the rate of SSI after caesarean section was 2.8% to 6.7% depending on the risk index category.11 The incidence rate depends on the following: the definition of SSI adopted, the intensity of surveillance, the prevalence of risk factors for SSI in the patient group being audited and whether the survey contains post discharge data[12]. Post discharge surveillance has become increasingly important to obtain accurate rates of SSI.

Criteria for defining surgical site infections

Superficial incisional SSI

Infection occurs within 30 days after operation and infection involves only skin or subcutaneous tissue of the incision and at least one of the following.

1. Purulent discharge from the superficial incision.
2. Organisms isolated from the superficial incision.
3. At least one of these signs or symptoms of infection: Pain or tenderness, swelling, redness or heat and superficial incision are deliberately opened by surgeon, unless incision is culture negative.
4. Diagnosis of superficial incisional SSI by the surgeon or physician.
Deep incisional SSI

Infection occurs within 30 days after operation if no implant is left in place or within one year if implant is in place and the infection appears to be related to the operation and infection involves deep soft tissues of the incision and at least one of the following:

1. Purulent drainage from the deep incision but not from the organ/space component of the surgical site.
2. A deep incision spontaneously dehices or is deliberately opened by a physician when the patient has at least one of these signs or symptoms of infection: fever, localized pain or tenderness, unless the site is culture negative.
3. An abscess or other evidence of infection involving the deep incision is found.
4. Diagnosis of a deep incisional SSI by a surgeon or physician.

Organ/space SSI

Infection occurs within 30 days after operation if no implant is left in place or within one year if implant is in place and the infection appears to be related to the operation and infection involves any part of the anatomy, other than the incision, which was opened or manipulated during an operation and at least one of the following:

1. Purulent drainage from a drain that is placed through a stab wound into the organ/space
2. Organisms isolated from fluid or tissue in the organ/space.
3. An abscess or other evidence of infection is found.
4. Diagnosis of an organ/space SSI by a surgeon or physician.

Determinants of infection

1. Inoculum of bacteria
2. Virulence of bacteria
3. Adjuvant effects of microenvironment
4. Innate and acquired host defences

Risk factors for wound infection

Host related factors

Socioeconomic status: Low socioeconomic status has consistently been associated with higher rates of post caesarean infection.14,16

Preterm delivery: Preterm delivery is a known risk factor for sepsis; also many preterm deliveries are emergencies.17

Rupture of membranes: One factor repeatedly linked to post caesarean infectious morbidity is prolonged rupture of the membranes because of more chances of contamination.

Vaginal examinations: Prolonged labour increases the number of vaginal examinations which predisposes the patient to post-partum infection.18

Surgery related factors

Emergency

Women who underwent an emergency caesarean delivery for indications such as placental abruption, non-reassuring fetal heart rate and non progressing second stage of labour were more likely to develop a wound infection.19

American society of anaesthesiologists score

The American Society of Anaesthesiologists physical status classification is a standardized, reproducible numeric determination that is used routinely to stratify severity of illness for surgical patients and is known to be a good indicator of host susceptibility to infection.20,21

Duration of operation

Patients who underwent surgery for more than one hour constituted another group at risk of infection.22,3

Antibiotic prophylaxis

Prophylactic antibiotics will reduce the incidence of endometritis following both elective and non-elective caesarean delivery by two thirds to three quarters and the incidence of wound infection by up to three quarters.7

METHODS

This study was a prospective design study conducted on 1504 patients selected randomly from August 2014 to October 2015 in Lalla Ded Hospital Srinagar J and K.

Inclusion criteria

1. Patients who had undergone caesarean in this hospital

Exclusion criteria

1. Any patient operated elsewhere

Purpose of study was explained to patients, and their verbal consent taken.

Data collection

Demographic information, potential risk factors and surgical indications were recorded.

Postoperatively women were monitored for signs of infection. Temperature was measured every day and leukocyte count was done if the patient developed fever
Majority of cases (45.9%) had class I wound, whereas 21.6% had class II wound; 27.1% had class III wound and 5.4% had class IV wound.

Majority of cases (48.2%) were with mild systemic disease, whereas 33.8% were normally healthy, 12.8% with severe systemic disease and 5.3% with incapacitating systemic disease.

Majority of caesareans (66.3%) were emergency and (33.7%) were elective.

Maximum number of cases (88.9%) had received prophylactic antibiotics whereas (11.1%) had not received any prophylactic antibiotics.

Majority of cases had superficial (64.1%) whereas (24.6%) had deep and (11.3%) had organ/space SSI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Various risk factors of SSI.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 to 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 to 34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normally healthy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild Systemic Disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe systemic disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incapacitating systemic disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prophylactic antibiotics given?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior to Incision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of SSI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organ/Space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total hospital stay (day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 to 30 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 to 7 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 to 15 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 to 30 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥30 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical Site Infection (SSI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Majority of cases (41.9%) had total hospital stay of 8 to 15 days, whereas (39.5%) had total hospital stay of 4 to 7 days, 17.8% stay of 16 to 30 days and 0.8% stay of more than 30 days.

(temp over 38.0°C). Wound culture was not done routinely unless infection was suspected.

The surgical site was considered infected if pus was found anywhere along the suture line with or without dehiscence.

Data analysis

Data was expressed as Mean±SD and percentages. Critical difference of variance for metric data was measured at 95% confidence interval by students ‘t’ test. Non metric data was similarly analysed by Fisher’s exact test, Mann-Whitney ‘U’ test, besides logistic regression analysis was done for determining best predictors along with Odds ratio analysis, P ≤0.05 considered statistically significant. Statistical SPSS, Mini Tab and MS Excel were used for data analysis.

Definitions

American Society of Anaesthesiologists Score (ASA)

- Class I - Normally healthy patient.
- Class II - Mild systemic disease.
- Class III - Severe systemic disease.
- Class IV - Incapacitating systemic disease that is threat to life.
- Class V - Morbid patient who is not expected to survive 24 hours.

Wound class

- Class I - No rupture of membranes or labour.
- Class II - If there was less than 2 hours of membrane rupture without labour or labour of any length with intact membranes.
- Class III - For rupture of membranes greater than 2 hours.
- Class IV - For purulent amniotic fluid.

RESULTS

Demographic information, potential risk factors and surgical indications were recorded.

Host related variables included age, preoperative diagnosis, a preoperative condition assessed by American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) score and total hospital stay.

Surgery related variables included nature of the operation, wound class and antibiotic prophylaxis.

Majority of the cases (51.7%) were in age group of 25 to 29 years, whereas 27% were ≤24 years; 18.9% between 30-34 years and 2.5% cases were ≥35 years.
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Out of total 1504 cases 195 developed SSI and rate was 13 % (Table 1).

In our study (8.3%) cases developed SSI out of 690 cases of class I wound, (14.2%) developed SSI out of 325 cases of class II wound; (18.1%) developed SSI out of 408 cases of class III wound and (22.2%) developed SSI out of 81 cases of class IV wound. The above results depict SSI is more prevalent in contaminated wound class with P value of 0.000 which is statistically significant (p<0.05) [OR 2.01] (Table 2).

In ASA classification of patients (9.4%) developed SSI among 508 cases that were normally healthy; (13.5%) among 725 cases who had mild systemic disease; (6.7%) among 192 cases that had severe systemic disease and (21.5%) developed SSI out of 79 cases that had incapacitating systemic disease. The above results depict that SSI is more prevalent in cases associated with morbidity with higher class ASA, with P value being 0.000, which is statistically significant (P <0.05); [OR 1.92] (Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Association of wound class with SSI.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound class II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound class III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound class IV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant

Table 3: Association of ASA with SSI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASA classification</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normally healthy</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild systemic disease</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe systemic disease</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incapacitating systemic disease</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant

Table 4: Association of prophylactic antibiotics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prophylactic antibiotics given</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prior to incision</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>1188</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant

Among 167 cases who had not received prophylactic antibiotics, (27.5%) developed SSI while out of 1337 cases who had received prophylactic antibiotics, (11.1%) developed SSI. The above results depict that SSI is more prevalent in cases who had not received prophylactic antibiotics, with a P value of 0.000, which is statistically significant (p<0.05); [OR 3.03] (Table 4).

Operation type showed that among 507 elective cases, 8.7% developed SSI while from 997 emergency cases, 15.1% developed SSI. The results depict that SSI is more prevalent in emergency caesareans with a P value being 0.000, which is statistically significant (P <0.05) [OR 1.88] (Table 5).

Table 5: Association of operation type with SSI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation type</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elective</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>846</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant

Table 6: Association of hospital stay with SSI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total hospital stay (day)</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 to 7 days</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 to 15 days</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 to 30 days</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;30 days</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant
Out of 594 cases who had total hospital stay of 4 to 7 days, 4.7% developed SSI; 630 cases who had total hospital stay of 8 to 15 days, 8.3% developed SSI; 268 cases who had total hospital stay of 16 to 30 days, 38.4% developed SSI and among 12 cases who had total hospital stay more than 30 days, 100% developed SSI. The above results depict that SSI is more prevalent in cases with prolonged hospital stay with P value of P = 0.000; [OR 171.60] which is statistically significant (Table 6).

**DISCUSSION**

The overall abdominal wound infection rate of 13% is comparable with other studies that have used post discharge surveillance. Similar findings were observed by Barbut F, Carbone B, Truchot F et al and Mitt P, Lang K, Peri A et al, which found significant percentage of SSI is detected by post discharge surveillance.23,24

Due to referrals from other hospitals, patients fall in higher wound class either because of prolonged labour, prolonged rupture of membranes or obstructed labour which is a potent risk factor for SSI when caesarean section is done.

In our study Among 690 cases of class I wound, 8.3% developed SSI. Out of 325 cases of class II wound, 14.2% developed SSI. Among 408 cases of class III wound, 18.1% developed SSI and from 81 cases of class IV wound, 22.2% developed SSI. The above results depict that SSI is more prevalent in cases with more contaminated wound class. Similar findings were observed by Eriksen H, Saether AR et al, Amenu Demisew, Tefera Belachew et al, Mitt P et al, Jido TA, Garba ID et al, Killian CA et al, and Schneid Kofman N et al which showed significant association between surgical wound class and SSI.3,19,24-27

Our hospital being a sole tertiary care hospital in valley and most of the patients who are referred from peripheries are usually high risk pregnancies with higher ASA class, which is a significant risk factor for SSI. In our study, Out of 508 normally healthy cases (9.4%) developed SSI. Among 725 cases with mild systemic disease (13.5%) developed SSI, Within 192 cases of severe systemic disease, (16.7%) developed SSI and from 79 cases who had incapacitating systemic disease, (21.5%) developed SSI. The above results depict that SSI is more prevalent in cases associated with morbidity. Similar findings were observed by Tran ST et al and Barbut F, Carbone B, Truchot et al, which showed significant association between ASA class and SSI.4,23

Numerous studies have recommended that antibiotic prophylaxis be given to all caesarean delivery cases for prevention of serious infections.

In our hospital we have a protocol of giving antibiotic prophylaxis to all women undergoing caesarean section. We found all elective caesareans had received prophylaxis before procedure, but it was found that not all emergency caesareans had received prophylaxis before procedure; reason was either nursing staff had not given or it was not prescribed on case sheet. Results from our study showed among 167 cases who had not received prophylactic antibiotics, (27.5%) developed SSI and out of 1337 cases who had received prophylactic antibiotics (11.1%) developed SSI. The above results depict that SSI is more prevalent in cases who had not received prophylactic antibiotics. Similar findings were observed by Beattie, Rings TR et al, Owens SM et al and Killian CA et al.2,8,29

Emergency caesarean sections are done usually when patient is in labour; mostly membranes are absent, increased number of vaginal examinations and sometimes miss the dose of prophylactic antibiotics. All these are potent risk factors for infection. In our study 8.7% developed SSI, out of 507 elective caesareans and 15.1% developed SSI out of 997 emergency caesareans. SSI was more prevalent in emergency caesareans with P value being 0.000; [OR 1.9] which is statistically significant (p<0.05). The above results are consistent with studies of Schneid-Kofman N et al and Amenu Demisew, Tefera Belachew et al.18,26

Prolonged stay in hospital means more chances of infection because of cross infection by health care workers, poor sanitation and poor asepsis. In our study out of 594 cases who had total hospital stay of 4 to 7 days, 4.7% developed SSI; 630 cases who had total hospital stay of 8 to 15 days, 8.3% developed SSI; 268 cases who had total hospital stay of 16 to 30 days, 38.4% developed SSI and among 12 cases who had hospital stay more than 30 days, 100% developed SSI. The above results depict that SSI is more prevalent in cases with prolonged hospital stay with P =0.000 [OR 171.60] which is statistically significant. The above results are consistent with the study of Nisa M, Naz T, Afzal I et al.30

**CONCLUSION**

The caesarean delivery rate has been steadily increasing over the last 30 years and it is common for major centres to have a rate in double figures. The development of a wound infection after caesarean delivery is a morbid event and may result in significant patient discomfort, inconvenience, embarrassment, prolonged hospital stay, additional surgery and increased cost of community care following discharge.

Incidence of SSI was (13%) following caesarean section which is higher than developed countries.

Statistically significant risk factors for SSI include wound class, ASA class, Antibiotic prophylaxis and operation type and total hospital stay.

The various reasons for such increased rate of SSI are:
1. Only tertiary care institute dealing with high risk pregnancies.
2. Late referrals.
3. Prolonged hospital stay of those cases where in hospital stay is must.
4. Heavy rush of attendants and inadequacy of bed strength.
5. Faulty supervision where dose of antibiotics is actually missed before caesarean.
6. No proper segregation of infected from healthy cases.
7. Attempting home deliveries by dai’s
8. Culture and taboos of not bathing for six weeks still prevalent in our community.

The interventions which are expected to decrease SSI rate are:

1. Decrease hospital stay
2. Educating women about cleanliness
3. Antibiotic prophylaxis to all before procedure
4. Separate labour room for septic patients
5. Limiting attendants rush
6. Early referral of cases where chances of caesarean are high.
7. Educating people about 100% institutional deliveries
8. Importance of post discharge surveillance.
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